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### 1.1 Notations

$x=\left(x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(m)}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$
$x^{(i)}=$ i-th component of the vector $x$
Operations with vectors
Addition: $x$ as above, $y=\left(y^{(1)}, \ldots, y^{(m)}\right)$

$$
x+y:=\left(x^{(1)}+y^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(m)}+y^{(m)}\right)
$$

Scalar multiplication:

$$
\alpha x:=\left(\alpha x^{(1)}, \ldots, \alpha x^{(m)}\right), \quad \text { for } \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

Standard inner product (dot product):

$$
<x, y>=x^{(1)} y^{(1)}+\cdots+x^{(m)} y^{(m)}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} x^{(i)} y^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Norm ("length") of a vector in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ :

$$
\|x\|:=\sqrt{<x, x>}=\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(x^{(i)}\right)^{2}}
$$

Observe that $\|x\| \geq 0$, with equality holding iff $x=0=(0, \ldots, 0)$

### 1.2 Remark

Basic properties of standard inner product Bilinearity :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x, x_{1}, x_{2} y, y_{1}, y_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \quad \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2} \in \mathbb{R} \\
& <\alpha_{1} x_{1}+\alpha_{2} x_{2}, y>=\alpha_{1},<x_{1}, y>+\alpha_{2}<x_{1}, y> \\
& <\dot{x}, \beta_{1} \dot{y}_{1}+\beta_{2} \dot{y}_{2}>=\beta_{1}<\dot{x}, y_{1}>+\beta_{2}<x, \dot{y}_{2}>
\end{aligned}
$$

Symmetry:

$$
<x, y>=<y, x>\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

Positivity
$<x, x>\geq 0 \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with equality iff $x=0$

### 1.3 Proposition

Cauchy-Schwarz inequality (C-S)
$\mathrm{I}<x, y>\mathrm{l} \leq\|x\| \times\|y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$
1.5 Corollary (Triangle Inequality) (T)
$\|x+y\| \leq\|x\|+\|y\|, \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$
1.6 Remark (Homogeneity) (H)
$\|\alpha x\|=|\alpha|\|x\|, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$

### 1.7 Distance

For $x=\left(x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(m)}\right)$ and $y=\left(y^{(1)}, \ldots, y^{(m)}\right)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ define the Euclidian distance between $x$ and $y$ to be
$d(x, y)=\|x-y\|=\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x^{(i)}-y^{(i)}\right)^{2}}$

### 1.8 Corollary (TT)

$d(x, z) \leq d(x, y)+d(y, z), \forall x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$

### 1.9 Ball

For $a \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{n}}$ and $r>0$ denote
$B(a ; r):=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid d(a, x)<r\right\}$ - Open Ball
$B(a ; r):=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} I d(a, x) \leq r\right\}$ - Closed Ball

Proof of Cauchy-Schwarz inequality
If $y=0$ then get $0=0$
Will assume $y \neq 0$ hence that $\|y\|>0$
Define $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by
$f(t)=\langle x-t y, x-t y\rangle, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$
Observe that $f(t) \geq 0, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$ (By positivity of inner product)
On the other hand, use the bilinearity property to get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(t) & =\langle x, x\rangle-<t y, x\rangle-<x, t y>+\langle t y, t y> \\
& =\|x\|^{2}-2 t<x, y>+t^{2}\|y\|^{2} \\
& =a+b t+c t^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

So $f$ is a quadratic function such that $f(t) \geq 0 \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$
For such f , the discriminant $\Delta=b^{2}-4 a c$ must satisfy $\Delta \leq 0$
But what is $\Delta$ ?
$\Delta=b^{2}-4 a c=4(\langle x, y\rangle)^{2}-4 \times\|x\|^{2} \times\|y\|^{2}$
So
$\Delta \leq 0 \Rightarrow(\langle x, y\rangle)^{2} \leq\|x\|^{2} \times\|y\|^{2}$
$\Rightarrow\|<x, y>1 \leq\| x\|\times\| y \|$
QED
1.4 Exercise

Determine the cases when C-S holds with equality.
Comment about Triangle Inequality in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{2}}$


Proof of 1.5 Corollary
$\|x+y\|^{2}=<x+y, x+y>$
$=\langle x, x\rangle+\langle x, y\rangle+\langle y, x\rangle+\langle y, y\rangle$
$=\|x\|^{2}+2\langle x, y\rangle+\|y\|^{2}$
$(C-S) \leq\|x\|^{2}+2\|x\|^{2}\|y\|^{2}+\|y\|^{2}=(\|x\|+\|y\|)^{2}$
$\|x+y\|^{2} \leq\|x\|+\|y\|$
QED
Proof of 1.6 Remark
$\|\alpha x\|=\sqrt{\langle\alpha x, \alpha x\rangle}=\sqrt{\alpha^{2}\langle x, x\rangle}=|\alpha|\|x\|$
Immediate consequence of $(H)$ : every vector $x \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ can be written uniquely in the form $x=r \times u$ where $r>0$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ has $\|u\|=1$ ( $u$ is a unit vector)

Proof of 1.8 Corollary
$d(x, z)=\|x-z\|=\|(\dot{x}-\dot{y})+(\dot{y}-z)\| \leq\|x-y\|+\|\dot{y}-z\|=d(x, y)+d(\dot{y}, z)$
1.10 Exercise

Let $x=\left(x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(n)}\right)$ be in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Prove that:
a) $\left|x^{(i)}\right| \leq\|x\|, \forall 1 \leq i<n$
b) $\|x\| \leq\rangle\left|x^{(i)}\right|$

Solution - by immediate algebra

### 1.11 Notation

For $x=\left(x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(n)}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$
1-Norm of $x$
$\|x\|_{1}:=\sum^{n}\left|x^{(i)}\right|$
$\infty$-Norm of $x$
$\|x\|_{\infty}:=\max \left(\left|x^{(1)}\right|, \ldots,\left|x^{(n)}\right|\right)$

## Sequences in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$
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### 2.1 Sequences in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$

$\left(\dot{x}_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}=\dot{x}_{1}, \dot{x_{2}}, \ldots, \dot{x_{k}}, \ldots$
$\dot{x_{k}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \dot{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$
Say that $\left(\dot{x}_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ converges to $\dot{a}$ when the following happens:
$\forall \epsilon>0, \exists k_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left\|\dot{x}_{k}-a\right\|<\epsilon \forall k \geq k_{0}$
Note:
Can also say
$d\left(\dot{x}_{k}, \dot{a}\right)<\epsilon$, or $\dot{x}_{k} \in B(\dot{a}, \epsilon)$, instead of $\left\|\dot{x}_{k}-\dot{a}\right\|<\epsilon$

### 2.2 Cauchy Sequences in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$

$\left(\dot{x}_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ sequence in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$
Say that $\left(\dot{x}_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence when the following happens:
$\forall \varepsilon>0 \exists k_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left\|x_{p}-x_{q}\right\|<\varepsilon \forall p, q \geq k_{0}$

### 2.3 Component Sequences

$\left(x_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ sequence in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$
Write explicitly
$x_{k}=\left(x_{k}^{(1)}, x_{k}^{(2)}, \ldots, x_{k}^{(n)}\right)$
We get sequences in $\mathbb{R}$
$\left(x_{k}^{(i)}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$
They are called the component sequences of $\left(\chi_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$
Conversely, with $n$ sequences in $\mathbb{R}$ you can assemble them to make a sequence in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$

### 2.4 Proposition

$\left(\dot{x}_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}, a \in \mathbb{R}$. Then
$\dot{x}_{k} \rightarrow \dot{a}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$
$\Leftrightarrow$
$x_{k}^{(l)} \rightarrow a^{(i)}$ in $\mathbb{R} \forall 1 \leq i \leq n$

### 2.5 Proposition

$\left(\dot{x}_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ sequence in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then
( $\dot{x}_{k}$ ) is Cauchy in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$
$\Leftrightarrow$
$\left(x_{k}^{(i)}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is Cauchy in $\mathbb{R}$

### 2.6 Cauchy Theorem in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$

Let $\left(\dot{x}_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.
Then $\left(\dot{x}_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is convergent (to some limit $\dot{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{n}}$ ) iff it is a Cauchy sequence.

### 2.7 Bounded Sequences in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$

Say that a sequence $\left(\dot{x}_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is bounded when $\exists r>0$ such that $\left\|\dot{x}_{k}\right\| \leq r, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Note:
Can write $\left\|\dot{x}_{k}\right\|=\left\|\dot{x}_{k}-0\right\|=d\left(\dot{x}_{k}, 0\right)$
So $\left\|\dot{x}_{k}\right\| \leq r \Leftrightarrow d(\dot{x}, 0) \leq r \Leftrightarrow \dot{x} \in B(0 ; r)$

### 2.8 Proposition

Let $\left(x_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then
$\left(\dot{x}_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$
$\Leftrightarrow$
Each of the component sequences $\left(x_{k}^{(1)}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}, \ldots,\left(x_{k}^{(n)}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded in $\mathbb{R}$

### 2.9 Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem in $\mathbb{R}^{\boldsymbol{n}}$

Let $\left(\dot{x}_{k}\right)_{(k=1)}^{\infty}$ be a bounded sequence in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then we can find indices $1 \leq k(1)<k(2)<\cdots<k(p)<\cdots$
Such that the subsequence $\left(\dot{x}_{k(p)}\right)_{p=1}^{\infty}$ is convergent.

That is every hounded seamence has a convergent sub-

Will do $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ versions of two important theorems from MATH 147:
Cauchy, and Bolzano-Weierstrass
Remark about Def 2.1
For $\left(\dot{x}_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}, \dot{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{n}}$ have
$x_{k} \rightarrow_{k \rightarrow \infty} \dot{a} \Leftrightarrow\left\|\dot{x}_{k}-\dot{a}\right\| \rightarrow_{k \rightarrow \infty} 0$

## Proof of proposition 2.4

$\Rightarrow$
Know $\dot{x}_{k} \rightarrow \dot{a}$ in $\mathbb{R}$
Want to know that $x_{k}^{(i)} \rightarrow a^{(i)} \forall 1 \leq i \leq n$
Fix i. Observe that for all $k \geq 1$
$0 \leq\left|x_{k}^{(i)}-a^{(i)}\right|=\left|\left(\dot{x}_{k}-a\right)^{(i)}\right| \leq\left\|\dot{x}_{k}-a\right\| \rightarrow 0$
By squeeze, $\left|x_{k}^{(i)}-a^{(i)}\right| \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow x_{k}^{(i)} \rightarrow a^{(i)}$
$\Leftarrow$
Know $x_{k}^{(i)} \rightarrow a^{(i)}$ in $\mathbb{R} \forall 1 \leq i \leq n$. So have
$\left|x_{k}^{(i)}-a^{(i)}\right| \rightarrow 0,1 \leq i \leq n$
$\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|x_{k}^{(i)}-a^{(i)}\right| \rightarrow 0$
By exercise 1.10(b)
$0 \leq\left\|\dot{x}_{k}-\dot{a}\right\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|x_{k}^{(i)}-a^{(i)}\right| \rightarrow 0$
Hence $\left\|\dot{x}_{k} \rightarrow \dot{a}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ by squeeze and hence $\dot{x}_{k} \rightarrow \dot{a}$
Proof of 2.6 (Cauchy Theorem)
$\left(\dot{x}_{k}\right)_{(k=1)}^{\infty}$ convergent in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$
$\Leftrightarrow$
Each of $\left(x_{k}^{(i)}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is convergent in $\mathbb{R}$
$\Leftrightarrow$
Each of $\left(x_{k}^{(i)}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is Cauchy in $\mathbb{R}$
$\Leftrightarrow$
$\left(x_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is Cauchy in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$
$Q \mathcal{Q D}$

### 2.8 Proof

Left as exercise
Proof of Lemma 2.11
$\left(\dot{y}_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ convergent in $\mathbb{R}^{n} \Rightarrow\left(x_{k}^{(i)}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ converges $\forall 1 \leq i \leq n$
$\left(t_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is convergent $\Rightarrow\left(x_{k}^{n+1}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is convergent.
So have $\left(x_{k}^{(i)}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is convergent for every $1 \leq i \leq n+1$
Using reverse direction for Proposition 2.4 to conclude
$\left(x_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is convergent in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$

## Proof of Theorem 2.9 (Bolzano-Weierstrass)

By induction on $n$.
Base case $\mathrm{n}=1$. This is the B-W theorem from Math 147
Induction. Assume the statement is true for $n$.
Let $\left(x_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a bounded sequence in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. For every k write $\dot{x}_{k}=\left(\dot{y}_{k}, t_{k}\right)$ with $\dot{y}_{k} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $t_{k} \in R$

## Claim 1

$\left(\dot{y}_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a bounded sequence in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$
$\left(t_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a bounded sequence in $\mathbb{R}$
This follows from discussion about components of bounded sequences.

## Claim 2

Can find an infinite set of indices $Q \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that the subsequence
$\left(\dot{y}_{k}\right)_{k \in Q}$ is convergent in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$
Why? The induction hypothesis which says that B-W holds in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$
Claim 3
Let Q be as in Claim 2. Can find infinite subset $P \subseteq Q$ such that $\left(t_{k}\right)_{k \in P}$ is is convergent in $\mathbb{R}$.
We invoke the B-W theorem from Math 147 to the sequence $\left(t_{k}\right)_{k \in Q}$

That is, every bounded sequence has a convergent subsequences.

### 2.10 Remarks

1. 

For $n=1$ this is the Bolzano-Weierstrass from MATH 147.
Here we want to prove that the same results holds in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ for every $n$. We will do this by induction on $n$.
2.

Notation: Subsequences and sub-subsequences of a sequence.
Given a sequence $\left(\dot{x}_{k}\right)_{(k=1)}^{\infty}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Subsequences of $\left(\dot{x_{k}}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ are of the form $\left(x_{k(p)}\right)_{p=1}^{\infty}$.
Giving a subsequence is equivalent to giving an infinite subset $P=\{k(1), k(2), \ldots, k(p), \ldots\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$
Instead of $\left(\dot{x}_{k(p)}\right)_{(p=1)}^{\infty}$ it is convenient to write $\left(\dot{x}_{k}\right)_{k \in P}$
With this notation, taking a sub-subsequence amounts to dropping from $\left(\dot{x}_{k}\right)_{k \in P}$ to $\left(\dot{x}_{k}\right)_{k \in Q}$ where $Q \subseteq P$ is an infinite set.
3.

Note that if $\dot{x}_{k} \rightarrow \dot{a}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ then for any subsequence we will
have $\dot{x}_{k(p)} \rightarrow_{p \rightarrow \infty} \dot{a}$
2.11 Lemma: Inductive Convergence
$\left(\dot{x}_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ sequence in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$
For every k can write $\dot{x}_{k}=\left(\dot{y}_{k}, t_{k}\right)$ with $\dot{y}_{k} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, t_{k} \in \mathbb{R}$
If $\left(\dot{y}_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ converges in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and if $\left(t_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ converges in $\mathbb{R}$ then $\left(\dot{x}_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ converges in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$

We invoke the B-W theorem from Math 147 to the sequence $\left(t_{k}\right)_{k \in Q}$
Claim 4
Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be the set of indices from Claim 3. Then the subsequence of $\left(\dot{x}_{k}\right)_{k \in P}$ is convergent in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$
Why? We have $\dot{x}_{k}=\left(\dot{y}_{k}, t_{k}\right), \forall k \in P$
Have $\dot{y}_{k} \rightarrow \dot{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{k}} \rightarrow \mathrm{s} \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow \dot{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{k}} \rightarrow(\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{s}) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{n}+1}$

## Open and Closed subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$
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## A. Open and Closed

### 3.1 Definitions

Let $A$ be a subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$

1. A vector $a \in \mathrm{~A}$ is said to be an interior point of A when $\exists$ $\mathrm{r}>0$ such that $B(a ; r) \subseteq A$

The set of all interior points of A is called the interior of A denoted as $\operatorname{int}(A)$
2. A vector $b \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{n}}$ is said to be adherent to A when it has the property that $B(b ; r) \cap A \neq \emptyset, \forall r>0$

The set of all adherent points of A is called the closure of A, denoted by $\operatorname{cl}(A)$
3.2 Proposition
$A \subseteq R^{n}, b \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then
$b \in \operatorname{cl}(A)$
$\Leftrightarrow$
$\exists$ sequence $\left(x_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ in $A$ such that $x_{k} \rightarrow b$
3.3 Remark and Definition

For every $A \subseteq R^{n}$ have $\operatorname{int}(A) \subseteq A \subseteq \operatorname{cl}(A)$
The set-difference $\operatorname{cl}(A) \backslash \operatorname{int}(A)$ called the boundary of A denoted as $b d(A)$

### 3.4 Definition

A set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ said to be open when it satisfied $A=\operatorname{int}(A)$
A set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ said to be closed when it satisfies $A=\operatorname{cl}(A)$

## Warning

Most subsets $A \subseteq R^{n}$ are neither open nor closed. So A not open does not imply that A is closed.

### 3.6 Definition

Say that $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ has the "no-escape" property when the following happens:
Whenever $\left(x_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence in A such that $x_{k} \rightarrow b \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{n}}$ then $b$ must also belong to A .

### 3.7 Proposition

For $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ have
( $A$ is closed) $\Leftrightarrow$ ( $A$ has the'no - escape'property)
Proof: Exercise.

### 3.8 Remark

1. For every $A \subseteq R^{n}$ have that $\operatorname{int}(A)$ is open. Moreover $\operatorname{int}(A)$ is the largest possible open set which sites inside A.
2. For every $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ we have that $\operatorname{cl}(A)$ is closed, and in fact it is the smallest possible closed set which contains A.
Proof: in homework

## Proof of Proposition 3.2

$" \Rightarrow$ " Know $b \in \operatorname{cl}(\mathrm{~A})$.
Then for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ have $B\left(b ; \frac{1}{k}\right) \cap A \neq 0$, hence pick $x_{k} \in B\left(b, \frac{1}{k}\right) \cap A$. This way we get a sequence in A such that $\left\|x_{k}-b\right\|<\frac{1}{k}, \forall k \geq 1$
Have $\left\|x_{k}-b\right\| \rightarrow_{k \rightarrow \infty} 0$ by squeeze, hence $x_{k} \rightarrow b$
$" \Leftarrow$ "Know $\exists\left(x_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ in A such that $x_{k} \rightarrow b$
Let $r>0$. Since $x_{k} \rightarrow b$ can find $k_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left\|x_{k}-b\right\|<r, \forall k \geq k_{0}$
In particular have $\left\|x_{k_{0}}-b\right\|<r \Rightarrow x_{k_{0}} \in B(b ; r) \cap A$
So $B(b ; r) \cap A \neq \emptyset$, and done. QED
3.3 Remark
$\operatorname{int}(A) \subseteq A$, by definition of $\operatorname{int}(A)$
$A \subseteq \operatorname{cl}(A)$
For every $a \in \mathrm{~A}$ can find sequence $\left(x_{k}\right)_{(k=1)}^{\infty}$ in A such that $x_{k} \rightarrow a$. Just take $x_{k}=a, \forall k \geq 1$
3.4 Example

Say $n=2$, let $\mathrm{A}=\{(s, t): s, t \in \mathbb{R}, t>0\} \cup\{(s, 0): s \in \mathbb{R}, s \geq 0\}$


Then $\operatorname{int}(A)=\{(s, t): s, t \in \mathbb{R}, t>0\}$
For $x=(s, t)$ with $t>0$, can find $r>0$ such that $B(x ; r) \subseteq A$. E.g. take $r=\frac{t}{2}$
But $x=(x, 0)$ is not interior to A - there is no $r>0$ such that $B(y, r) \subseteq A$
$c l(A)=\{(s, t): x, t \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0\}$
$b d(A)=\operatorname{int}(A) \backslash \operatorname{cl}(A)=\{(s, 0): x \in \mathbb{R}\}$

## Compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$
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## B Compact Sets

### 3.9 Definition

A subset $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is said to be bounded when $\exists r>0$ such that $\|x\| \leq r, \forall x \in A$

Note
" $\|x\| \leq r, \forall x \in A$ " is equivalent to saying that $A \subseteq B(0 ; r)$. Could also use an open ball; pick $r^{\prime}>r$ then have $\|x\|<r^{\prime}, \forall x \in A$ hence $A \subseteq B(0, r)$

### 3.10 Definition

A subset $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is said to be compact when it is both closed and bounded.

Note
There are several equivalent descriptions of compactness (Some of them extend to spaces more general than $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ - see PMath 351)

### 3.11 Definition

A subset $\mathrm{A} \subseteq R^{n}$ is said to be sequentially compact when the following happens:
For every sequence $\left(x_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ in A, one can find a convergent
subsequence $\left(x_{k(p)}\right)_{p=1}^{\infty}$ such that the limit $a=\lim _{p \rightarrow a} x_{k(p)}$ still belongs to A
3.12 Theorem

For $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ have that A is compact iff A is sequentially compact.
C Duality Open $\leftrightarrow$ Closed
Via taking complements
3.13 Duality interior vs. closure

For every $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ have that
$\operatorname{int}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash A\right)=\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash \operatorname{cl}(A)$
$\operatorname{cl}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash A\right)=\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash \operatorname{int}(A)$
2.14 Corollary (Duality open vs. closed)

For $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ have (A is closed) $\Leftrightarrow\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash A\right.$ is open)

### 3.15 Remark

We have one description for what it means that $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is open. A open $\Leftrightarrow A=\operatorname{int}(A) \Leftrightarrow$ every $a \in A$ is an interior point of $A$

We have three equivalent descriptions for what it means that $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is closed:
. $A=\operatorname{cl}(A)$ (by Definition 3.5.2)
. A has the "no-escape" property (Proposition 3.7)
. $\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash A$ is an open set (Corollary 3.14)

Proof of Theorem 3.12
" $\Rightarrow$ " Know that A is closed and bounded. Let $\left(x_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence in A. A is bounded $\Rightarrow\left(x_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a bounded sequence $\Rightarrow \exists\left(x_{k(p)}\right)_{p=1}^{\infty}$ convergent.
Denote the $\lim _{p \rightarrow \infty} x_{k(p)}=: a\left(\in \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$
Since A is closed, it has the no escape property, therefore $a \in \mathrm{~A}$
$" \Leftarrow "$
Know $A$ is sequentially compact. Want to prove that $A$ is closed and bounded.
This is problem 7 in homework 2.
QED
Note
Theorem 3.12 is part of a theorem of Heine-Borel
Proof of Proposition 3.13
Will do first equality, second can by done by similar argument or the 2nd can be deduced using the first.

So prove the first equality
" $\subseteq$ "
Take $b \in \operatorname{int}\left(\mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{n}} \backslash \mathrm{A}\right)$. So $\exists r>0$ s.t. $B(b ; r) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash A$
But then $B(b ; r) \cap A=\emptyset$ and it follows that $b$ is not adherent to A. Hence
$b \notin \operatorname{cl}(\mathrm{~A})$. Hence $b \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash \operatorname{cl}(A)$
" $\supseteq$ "
Take $b \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{n}} \backslash \mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{A}) \Rightarrow \mathrm{b} \notin \mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{A}) \Rightarrow b$ is not adherent to A .
From Def 3.1.2 it follows that $\exists r>0$ such that $B(b ; r) \cap A=\varnothing$
But if $B(b ; r) \cap A=\emptyset$, then must have the $B(b ; r) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash A$
Finally from $B(b ; r) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash A$ we conclude that $b \in \operatorname{int}\left(\mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{n}} \backslash \mathrm{A}\right)$
QED for first formula
Proof of Corollary 3.14
" $\Rightarrow$ "
A closed $\Rightarrow \operatorname{cl}(A)=A \Rightarrow \operatorname{int}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash A\right)=\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash c l(A)=\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash A$
$\Rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash A$ is open (it is equal to its interior)
$" \Leftarrow "$
$\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash A$ is open $\Rightarrow \operatorname{int}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash A\right)=\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash A$
$\Rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash \operatorname{cl}(A)=\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash A \Rightarrow \operatorname{cl}(A)=A$ (by taking complements again)
$\Rightarrow A$ is closed

## Continuous Functions
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### 4.1 Definition

$A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}, f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}, A \neq \emptyset$

1. Let $\dot{a} \in \mathrm{~A}$. Say that A is continuous at $\dot{a}$ when the following happens: $\forall \varepsilon>0, \exists \delta>0$ s.t. $\|f(x)-f(a)\|<\varepsilon \forall x \in A$ with $\|x-a\|<\delta$
2. Let B be a subset of A . Say that f is continuous on B when f is continuous at every $a \in B$
Note
In particular, may have $B=A$, get definition for " $f$ is continuous on $A$ "

### 4.2 Remark

Given $\varepsilon>0$ have to find $\delta>0$ such that
$f(B(A ; \delta) \cap A) \subseteq B(f(\dot{a}) ; \varepsilon)$

### 4.3 Definition

$A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}, f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}, \dot{a} \in A$. Say that f respects sequences in A which converge to $a$ when the following happens:
Whenever $\left(\dot{x}_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence in A such that $\dot{x}_{k} \rightarrow_{k \rightarrow \infty} \dot{a}$ it follows that $f\left(x_{k}\right) \rightarrow_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(a)$

### 4.4 Proposition

$A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}, f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}, a \in A$ Then
$f$ respects sequences in A which converge to $\dot{a}$
$\Leftrightarrow$
f is continuous at $a$

### 4.5 Definition

$A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}, f: A \rightarrow R^{m}$. For every $a \in A$, write explicitly
$f(a)=\left(f^{(1)}(a), \ldots, f^{(m)}(a)\right)$
Get n functions $f^{(1)}, \ldots, f^{(m)}: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
For $1 \leq j \leq m$, the function $f^{(j)}: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called the $\mathbf{j}$-th component of f

### 4.6 Proposition

$A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}, f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}, a \in A$
f is continuous at $a$
$\Leftrightarrow$
Each of the component functions $f^{(1)}, \ldots, f^{(m)}$ is continuous at $a$

## Proof of Proposition 4.4

" $\Rightarrow$ " Know frespects sequences convergent at $a$
Want f satisfies $\varepsilon-\delta$ at $a$
So fix an $\varepsilon>0$. Need to prove that $\exists \delta>0$ such that
(*) $\|f(x)-f(a)\|<\varepsilon \forall x \in A$ s.t. $\|x-a\|<\delta$
Assume by contradiction that I cannot find a $\delta>0$ such that ( ${ }^{*}$ ) holds. So no matter what $\delta>0$ I try, ( ${ }^{*}$ ) will fail.

Try $\delta=1$, and it fails.
Hence $\exists \dot{x}_{1} \in A$ s.t. $\left\|x_{1}-a\right\|<1$, but nevertheless $\left\|f\left(x_{1}\right)-f(a)\right\| \geq 1$
For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, take $\delta=\frac{1}{k^{\prime}}$ and it fails. $\exists x_{k} \in A$ s.t. $\left\|x_{k}-a\right\|<\frac{1}{k}$ but nevertheless $\|\left(f\left(x_{k}\right)-f(a) \| \geq \varepsilon\right.$

Observe that in this way we get a sequence $\left(x_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ in A where $\left\|x_{k}-a\right\|<\frac{1}{k} \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow\left\|x_{k}-a\right\| \rightarrow_{k \rightarrow \infty} 0$, hence $x_{k} \rightarrow_{k \rightarrow \infty} a$ And yet $\left\|f\left(\dot{x}_{k}\right)-f(\dot{a})\right\| \geq \varepsilon, \forall k \in N$ hence $\left\|f\left(\dot{x}_{k}\right)-f(\dot{a})\right\|!\rightarrow$ $0, f\left(x_{k}\right)!\rightarrow f(a)$.
So f does not respect the sequence $x_{k} \rightarrow a$, contradiction with the hypothesis.

Hence the assumption that there is no delta for which (*) works leads to contradiction. Hence $\exists \delta$. Done with " $\Rightarrow$ "

Proof of " $\Leftarrow$ "
Exercise, on homework 3

Proof of Proposition 4.6
$f$ continuous at $a$
$\Leftrightarrow$
$f$ respects sequences in A which converge to $a$
$\Leftrightarrow(*)$
Each of $f^{(1)}, \ldots, f^{(m)}$ respects sequences in A which converge to $a$ $\Leftrightarrow$
Each of $f^{(1)}, \ldots, f^{(m)}$ is continuous at $a$
(*)
Take $\left(\dot{x}_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ in A such that $\dot{x}_{k} \rightarrow \dot{a}$
For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, write $f\left(\dot{x}_{k}\right)=\left(f^{(1)}\left(\dot{x}_{k}\right), \ldots, f^{(m)}\left(\dot{x}_{k}\right)\right)$
Know from prop 2.4 that $f\left(x_{k}\right) \rightarrow f(a)$ iff $f^{(j)}\left(x_{k}\right) \rightarrow f^{(j)}(a)$
$\forall 1 \leq j \leq m$

## Uniform Continuity
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### 5.1 Remark

$A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}, f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$
Suppose we want to discuss at the same time the continuity of f at several points of A: $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{p} \in A$

Have $\varepsilon>0, \forall 1 \leq k \leq p$ we find $\delta_{k}>0$ s.t. $x \in A\left\|x-a_{k}\right\|<$ $\delta_{k} \Rightarrow\left\|f(x)-f\left(a_{k}\right)\right\|<\varepsilon$

To find a single delta which works for all $a_{k}$ take
$\delta:=\min \left\{\delta_{k}: 1 \leq k \leq p\right\}>0$ and works $\forall a_{k}$
But what happens if we did this for infinitely many points in $A$ at the same time, or all the points of $A$
Here we can't always find a $\delta>0$ good for all $a^{\prime} s$ at the same time.
5.2 Uniform Continuity
$A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}, f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$.
Say that f is uniformly continuous on A when the following happens:
$\forall \varepsilon>0, \exists \delta>0:\|f(x)-f(a)\|<\varepsilon \forall x, a \in A:\|x-a\|<\delta$

### 5.4 Proposition

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a compact set.
Let $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be a function. If f is continuous on A then f is uniformly continuous on A

### 5.5 Definition

$B \subseteq A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}, f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$, function
We say that $f$ is uniformly continuous on $B$ when the restriction of $F$ to $B$ is uniformly continuous
$\forall \varepsilon>0, \exists \delta>0$ s.t. $\|f(x)-f(b)\|<\varepsilon \forall x, b \in B$ s.t. $\|x-b\|<\delta$
Please us this definition in Problem 6(a) of Homework 3

### 5.3 Example

f continuous on A, but not uniformly continuous on A
Let $A=(0,1) \times(0,1) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2}$
$f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad f((s, t))=\frac{s}{t}$
Observe that f is continuous at every $a=(s, t) \in A$
Indeed, check with sequences. Suppose $x_{k} \rightarrow a$ where $x_{k}=\left(s_{k}, t_{k}\right) \in A$
Then $s_{k} \rightarrow s, t_{k} \rightarrow t$
Take ratio of convergent sequence as in Calc 1, get
$\frac{s_{k}}{t_{k}} \rightarrow \frac{s}{t}$
Hence $f\left(x_{k}\right) \rightarrow f(a)$
So have that f is continuous on A
Claim: But fi is not uniformly continuous on A
Opponent gives $\varepsilon=\frac{1}{2}$
Can I find $\delta>0$ s.t.
$|f(x)-f(a)|<\frac{1}{2} \forall x, a \in A$ with $\|x-a\|$
Assume $\exists \delta$ which satisfies the above.
Consider the sequence $\left(x_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ in A where $x_{k}=\left(\frac{1}{k}, \frac{1}{k^{2}}\right) \forall k \geq 2$
Note that $\left\|x_{k}-x_{k+1}\right\| \rightarrow 0$
Hence $\exists k_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $\left\|x_{k}-x_{k+1}\right\|<\delta \forall k \geq k_{0}$. In particular $\left\|x_{k_{0}}-x_{k_{0}+1}\right\|<\delta$ so it should
follow that $\left|f\left(x_{k_{0}}\right)-f\left(x_{k_{0}+1}\right)\right|<\frac{1}{2}$
But $f\left(x_{k_{0}}\right)=\frac{\frac{1}{k_{0}}}{\frac{1}{k_{0}^{2}}}=k_{0}$. Similarly $f\left(x_{k_{0}+1}\right)=k_{0}+1$
$\left|f\left(x_{k_{0}}\right)-f\left(x_{k_{0}+1}\right)\right|=\left|k_{0}-k_{0}-1\right|=1<\frac{1}{2}$
Contradiction, coming from the assumption that $\delta$ exists.

## Proof of Proposition 5.4

Given $\varepsilon>0$, Want to find $\delta>0$ s.t.
$x, a \in A,\|x-a\|<\varepsilon \Rightarrow\|f(x)-f(a)\|<\varepsilon$
Assume by contradiction that no such $\delta$ exists.
Pick $k \in \mathbb{N}$, use $\delta=\frac{1}{k}$. We can find $a_{k}, x_{k}$ in A such that $\left\|x_{k}-a_{k}\right\|<\frac{1}{k}$ but nevertheless $\left\|f\left(x_{k}\right)-f\left(a_{k}\right)\right\| \geq \varepsilon$. In this way we find two sequences in $\mathrm{A},\left(x_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and $\left(a_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is compact and hence sequentially compact. So can find $1 \leq k(1)<k(2)<\cdots<k(p)<\cdots$ such that $\left(x_{k(p)}\right)_{p=1}^{\infty}$ converges to a limit $x_{0} \in A$

Claim: For the same $1 \leq k(1) \leq k(2) \leq \cdots \leq k(p) \leq \cdots$ we have that
$\lim _{p \rightarrow \infty} a_{k(p)}=x_{0}$
For every $p \in \mathbb{N}$ write
$\left\|a_{k(p)}-x_{0}\right\| \leq\left\|a_{k(p)}-x_{k(p)}\right\|+\left\|x_{k(p)}-x_{0}\right\| \leq \frac{1}{k(p)}+\left\|x_{k(p)}-x_{0}\right\| \rightarrow 0+0=0$
So by squeeze, $\left\|a_{k(p)}-x_{0}\right\| \rightarrow 0$. Done claim
Now, f is continuous at $x_{0}$ so it respects $x_{k(p)} \rightarrow x_{0}$ and $a_{k(p)} \rightarrow x_{0}$. So $f\left(x_{k(p)}\right) \rightarrow f\left(x_{0}\right)$ and $f\left(a_{k(p)}\right) \rightarrow f(x)$
$\left\|f\left(x_{k(p)}\right)-f\left(a_{k(p)}\right)\right\| \leq\left\|f\left(x_{k(p)}\right)-f\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|+\left\|f\left(x_{0}\right)-f\left(a_{k(p)}\right)\right\| \rightarrow 0+0=0$
Contradiction with construction of $x_{k}, a_{k}$ which said $\left\|f\left(x_{k(p)}\right)-f\left(a_{k(p)}\right)\right\| \geq \varepsilon \forall p \in \mathbb{N}$
So assumption that I cannot find a $\delta$ leads to contradiction. It remains that we can find $\delta$. QED

## Extreme Value Theorem
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## Supremum / Infemum

This is about global minimum and maximum of a continuous function on a compact set. Will use the concepts $\inf (A)$ and $\sup (A)$ for a bounded nonempty subset $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$.
$\inf (A)=$ smallest possible limit of a sequence in A
$\sup (B)=$ largest possible limit of a sequence in $A$

Have that $\inf (A)$ is the greatest lower bound (GLB) for A
i) $\inf (A) \leq a, \forall a \in A$
ii) If $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ has the property that $\alpha \leq a, \forall a \in A$, then it follows that $\inf (A) \geq \alpha$
$\sup (A)$ is the lowest upper bound (LUB) for A

## Note:

For a general bounded set $\mathrm{A}, \inf A$ and $\sup A$ may or may not belong to A

### 6.1 Remark

$K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ a nonempty compact set.
Then K is bounded, hence can talk about $\alpha=\inf K$ and $\beta=\sup K$. We were are certain that $\alpha, \beta \in K$
(Why? Because K is closed so it has "no-escape" property for sequences.

### 6.2 Definition

## $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}, f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$

1. The image of f is the set $f(A)=\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{m} \mid \exists x \in A\right.$ s.t. $\left.f(x)=y\right\}$
2. We say that $f$ is bounded in $A$ if $f(A)$ is a bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}^{m}$.

$$
\text { Equivalently, this means that } \exists r>0 \text { s.t. }\|f(x)\| \leq r \forall x \in A
$$

6.3 Remark and Notation (special case m=1)
$A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{m}, f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then
$f$ is bounded $\Leftrightarrow \exists r>0$ s.t. $|f(x)| \leq r \forall x \in A$
Here $f(A)$ is a bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}$
So we can talk about inf and sup of the set $F(A) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$. We abbreviate them as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \inf _{\mathrm{A}}(f):=\inf \{f(x) \mid x \in A\} \\
& \sup _{A}(f):=\sup \{f(x) \mid x \in A\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Also, use the notation for the oscillation of $\mathbf{f}$ on $\mathbf{A}$

$$
\operatorname{osc}_{A}(f):=\sup _{A}(f)-\inf _{A}(f)
$$

6.4 Definition
$A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}, f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a bounded function.
An element $a \in A$ is said to be:

- A global minimum for f on A when $f(a)=\inf _{A}(f)$
- A global maximum for f on A when $f(a)=\sup _{A}(f)$


## Note

A bounded function $f$ on A may or may not have a global min/max and if it does, then it may have one or several.
6.6 Theorem (EVT)
$A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ compact, $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuous. Then f is bounded, and has at least one point of global max and at least one point of global min.

We will derive EVT from the following fact (important on its own)

### 6.7 Proposition

$A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ compact, $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ continuous. Then the image set $f(A) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{m}$ is a compact set of $\mathbb{R}^{m}$.

### 6.5 Example

$A=(0,1) \times(0,1) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2}$
$f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f((s, t))=|s-t| \forall 0<s, t<1$
$f(A)=[0,1)$ hence $\inf _{A}(f)=0, \sup _{A}(f)=1$
f has many points of global min: all points (s, s) with $0<s<1$
But f has no points of global max. There is no point $a \in$ A such that $f(a)=1$
Proof of Proposition 6.7
Denote $f(A)=B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{m}$
We will verify that $B$ is sequentially compact (know this this is equivalent to compact - Theorem 3.12)
So let us fix a sequence $\left(y_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ in B. Have to prove that $\left(y_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ has a convergent subsequence with limit still in $B$.
For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ have $\dot{y}_{k} \in B=f(A)$, hence can find $\dot{x}_{k} \in A$ s.t. $f\left(\dot{x}_{k}\right)=\dot{y}_{k}$
A is compact by hypothesis, hence it is sequentially compact. So we can find $1 \leq k(1)<k(2)<\cdots<k(p)<\cdots$ s.t. $x_{k(p)} \rightarrow a \in A$
Function f is continuous on A , hence respects convergent sequences in A , so have $f\left(x_{k(p)}\right) \rightarrow f(a) \Rightarrow y_{k_{p}} \rightarrow f(a)=b \in \mathrm{~B}$
So we have found a convergent subsequence $\left(y_{k(p)}\right)_{p=1}^{\infty}$ of $\left(y_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ which converges to a value of B. QED

Proof of Proposition 6.6 (EVT)
Have $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{m}$ compact, $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuous
Want: $f$ is bounded, and $\exists a_{1}, a_{2} \in A$ s.t. $f\left(a_{1}\right) \leq f(x) \leq f\left(a_{2}\right) \forall x \in A$
Denote $f(A)=K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$
Then $K$ is compact by proposition 6.7
So we can talk about $\alpha=\inf (K), \beta=\sup (K)$ and moreover $\alpha, \beta \in K$ (By Remark 6.1)
Since $\alpha, \beta \in K=f(A)$ we can find $a_{1}, a_{2} \in A$ s.t. $f\left(a_{1}\right)=\alpha, f\left(a_{2}\right)=\beta$
But then for every $x \in$ A we can write $f(x) \in K$
$\alpha \leq f(x) \leq \beta \Rightarrow f\left(a_{1}\right) \leq f(x) \leq f\left(a_{2}\right) \forall x \in A$
QED

## Integration Intro
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## Historical Note

- Idea that a continuous function has an integral - Cauchy ( $\sim 1820$ )
- Concept of integrable function - Riemann (~1850)

Goal
$A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}, f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ Want to associate to f a real number, called the integral of $f$ on $A$ denoted
$\left.\right|_{A} f(x) d x$

What kind of $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ ? A will be a bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$
What kind of f ? f will be in any case a bounded function. But need more conditions.
Case of f continuous, but will also allow some discontinuities.

## Rectangles and their divisions
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We prefer half-open rectangles

### 7.1 Definition

We call a half-open rectangle in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ a set of the form
$P=\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right\rfloor \times\left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right\rfloor \times \cdots \times\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right\rfloor$ where $a_{i}<b_{i} \forall 1 \leq i \leq n$, and are in $\mathbb{R}$
$P=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid a_{i}<x^{(i)} \leq b_{i} \forall 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$
For $P=\left.\right|_{i=1} ^{n} \mid\left(a_{i}, b_{i} \mid\right.$ we denote $\operatorname{vol}(P)=\left.\right|_{i=1} ^{n} \mid\left(b_{i}-a_{i}\right)$
$\operatorname{diam}(P)=\sup \{\|x-y\| x, y \in P\}=\|b-a\|$
where $a=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right), b=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$
7.2 Notation and Remark

We denote by $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ the collection of all half-open rectangles in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$
Note: $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ is a set of sets
$P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}$ means P is a half-open rectangle
Note that
$P, Q \in \mathcal{P}_{n}, P \cap Q \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow P \cap Q \in \mathcal{P}_{n}$
Exercise: Verify this by algebra.
7.3 Definition

Let $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}$. By a division of $\mathbf{P}$ we understand a set $\Delta=\left\{P_{1}, P_{2}, \ldots, P_{r}\right\}$ of half-open rectangles such that
$\bigcup_{i=1}^{r} P_{i}=P$ and $P_{i} \cap P_{j}=\emptyset \forall i \neq j$
Notation
$\|\Delta\|=\max \left(\operatorname{diam}\left(P_{i}\right), 1 \leq i \leq r\right)$
7.4 Remark

Special case of division: grid divisions.
$P=\left|\left.\right|_{i=1} ^{n}\right|\left(a_{i}, b_{i} \mid \in \mathcal{P}_{n}\right.$
A grid division of P is obtained by decomposing each $\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)$ and then taking the Cartesian products
$\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right\rfloor=\prod_{j=1}^{r_{i}} J_{j}^{(i)}=J_{1}^{(i)}, J_{2}^{(i)}, \ldots, J_{r_{i}}^{(i)}, \quad J_{j}^{(i)}=\left(x_{j}^{(i)}, y_{j}^{(i)} \mid \in \mathbb{R}\right.$
Then P is divided into $r=r_{1} r_{2} \ldots r_{m}$ rectangles of the form
$J_{i_{1}}^{(1)} \times J_{i_{2}}^{(2)} \times \cdots \times J_{i_{n}}^{(n)}$
with $1 \leq i_{1} \leq r_{1}, 1 \leq i_{m} \leq r_{m}$
7.5 Definition
$P \in \mathcal{P}_{m}$ and let $\Delta=\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{r}\right\}, \Gamma=\left\{Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{s}\right\}$ be divisions of 0
Say that $\Gamma$ refines $\Delta$ (denote $\Gamma \prec \Delta$ )
When for every $1 \leq j \leq s$ there exists $1 \leq i \leq r$ such that $Q_{j} \subseteq P_{i}$

### 7.6 Remark

If $\Gamma<\Delta$ then can write $\Gamma=\left\{Q_{11}, Q_{1 s_{1}}, \ldots, Q_{r 1}, Q_{r 2}, \ldots, Q_{r s_{r}}\right\}$
Where $\left\{Q_{i 1}, . ., Q_{i s_{1}}\right\}$ is a division of $P_{i}$

### 7.7 Remark

Let $\Delta=\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{r}\right\}$ be any division of $P$. One can find a grid-division $\Gamma$ such that $\Gamma \prec \Delta$
Proof: Exercise
Geometric idea: extend lines of division for each sub-rectangle.

### 7.8 Proposition

Let $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{P}_{n}$ and let $\Delta^{\prime}, \Delta^{\prime \prime}$ be two divisions of $\mathcal{P}$. Then one can find a division $\Gamma$ of $\mathcal{P}$ such that $\Gamma<\Delta^{\prime}$ and $\Gamma<\Delta^{\prime \prime}$.
Say that $\Gamma$ is a common refinement for $\Delta^{\prime}$ and $\Delta^{\prime \prime}$

### 7.9 Remark

$P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}, \Delta=\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{r}\right\}$ is a division of P then
$\rangle, \operatorname{vol}\left(P_{i}\right)=\operatorname{vol}(P)$
$\sum_{i=1}$

## Definition of Integral

October-07-11
11:53 AM
Riemann integral $\rightarrow \sim 1850$
We will use Darboux sums $\rightarrow \sim 1870$

### 8.1 Definition

$\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{P}_{n}$ Let $f: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded function. Let $\Delta=\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{r}\right\}$ be a
division of $\mathcal{P}$
Then the upper Darboux sum for $f$ and $\Delta$ is
$U(f, \Delta)=\rangle_{i=1}^{r} \operatorname{vol}\left(P_{i}\right) \times \sup _{P_{i}}(f)$
And the lower Darboux sum for $f$ and $\Delta$ is
$L(f, \Delta)=\rangle_{i=1}^{r} \operatorname{vol}\left(P_{i}\right) \times \inf _{P_{i}}(f)$

### 8.2 Remark

$\mathcal{P}, f, \Delta$ as defined above.
$U(f, \Delta)-L(f, \Delta)=\rangle_{i=1}^{r} \operatorname{vol}\left(P_{i}\right)\left(\sup _{P_{i}} f-\inf _{P_{i}} f\right)$
$=\rangle_{i=1}^{r} \operatorname{vol}\left(P_{i}\right) \times \operatorname{osc}_{P_{i}}(f) \geq 0$

### 8.3 Lemma

$P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}, f: P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ bounded function.
Suppose $\Delta$, $\Gamma$ are divisions of $P$ such that $\Gamma<\Delta$
Then $U(f, \Gamma) \leq U(f, \Delta)$ and $L(f, \Gamma) \geq L(f, \Delta)$
$\Rightarrow U(f, \Gamma)-L(f, \Gamma) \leq U(f, \Delta) \leq L(f, \Delta)$

### 8.4 Proposition

$P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}, f: P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ bounded function. Let $\Delta^{\prime}, \Delta^{\prime \prime}$ be two divisions. Then $L\left(f, \Delta^{\prime}\right) \leq U\left(f, \Delta^{\prime \prime}\right)$

### 8.5 Remark

$P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}, f: P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ bounded. Consider the following set of real numbers:
$S=\{s \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exists$ division $\Delta$ of $L(f, \Delta)=s\}$
$T=\{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exists$ division $\Delta$ of $P$ with $U(f, \Delta)=t\}$
Then Prop 8.4 says that $s \leq t \forall s \in S, \forall t \in T$
Make some observations from here:
a) $S$ is bounded above (since every $t \in T$ is an upper bound for $S$ )

Hence can talk about sup $(S)$
Observe that $\sup (S) \leq t, \forall t \in T$ (since $t$ is some upper bound for $S$, while $\sup (S)$ is the smallest upper bound for $S$
b) T is bounded below (e.g. $\sup (S)$ ) is a lower bound for T . Hence can consider $\inf (T)$, and will have $\inf (T) \geq \sup (S)$

Have $\sup S \leq \inf T$
When can this hold with equality?
Some equivalent conditions for this:

1. $\sup S=\inf T$
2. $\forall \varepsilon>0 \exists s \in S$ and $t \in T$ s.t.s $-t<\varepsilon$
3. $\exists$ sequences $\left(s_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ in $S$ and $\left(t_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ in $T$ such that $t_{k}-s_{k} \rightarrow 0$

Exercise
Now recall that we had $S=\{s \in S \mid \exists$ division $\Delta$ of $P$ with $L(f, \Delta)=s\}$
Hence $\sup (S)=\sup \{L(f, \Delta) \mid \Delta$ division of $P\}$
Likewise
$\inf (T)=\inf \{U(f, \Delta) \mid \Delta$ division of $P\}$
8.6 Definition
$P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}, f: P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ bounded function

- Two number $\sup \{L(f, \Delta) \mid \Delta$ division of $P\}$ is called the lower integral of f , denoted

$$
\left.\right|_{\underline{P}} f \text { or }\left.\right|_{\underline{p}} f(x) d x
$$

- The number $\inf \{U(f, \Delta) \mid \Delta$ division of $P\}$ is called the upper integral of f , denoted

$$
\left.\right|_{p} f \text { or }\left.\right|_{P} ^{-} f(x) d x
$$

8.7 Proposition
$P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}, f: P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ bounded
Then $\left.l\right|_{P} f \leq\left. u\right|_{P} f$ Moreover, the following are equivalent:

1) $\left.l\right|_{P} f=\left.u\right|_{P} f$

Proof of Lemma 8.3
Will show the inequality for $U$. $L$ is similar.
Write $\Delta=\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{r}\right\}, \Gamma=\left\{Q_{1,1}, \ldots, Q_{1, s_{1}}, \ldots, Q_{r, 1}, \ldots, Q_{r, s_{r}}\right\}$ where
$Q_{i, 1} \cup \cdots \cup Q_{i, S_{i}}=P_{i} \forall i$
For every $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $1 \leq j \leq s_{i}$ have that $\sup _{Q_{i, j}}(f) \leq \sup _{P_{i}}(\mathrm{f})$ This is just because $Q_{i, j} \subseteq P_{i}$

Then write
$\left.U(f, \Gamma)=\rangle_{i=1}^{r}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{s_{i}} \operatorname{vol}\left(Q_{i, j}\right) \cdot \sup _{Q_{i, j}}(f)\right) \leq\right\rangle_{i=1}^{r}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{s_{i}} \operatorname{vol}\left(Q_{i, j}\right) \sup _{P_{i}}(f)\right)$
$=\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{s_{i}} \operatorname{vol}\left(Q_{i, j}\right)\right) \sup _{P_{i}}(f)=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \operatorname{vol}\left(P_{i}\right) \cdot \sup _{P_{i}}(f)=U(f, \Delta)$
QED
Proof of Proposition 8.4
Can find division $\Gamma$ of $P$ such that $\Gamma<\Delta^{\prime}$ and $\Gamma<\Delta^{\prime \prime}$ (from Lecture 7, prop 7.8)
Then $L\left(f, \Delta^{\prime}\right) \leq L(f, \Gamma) \leq U(f, \Gamma) \leq U\left(f, \Delta^{\prime \prime}\right)$
Lemma 8.3, Remark 8.2, Lemma 8.3
QED

## Proof of Proposition 8.7

The inequality $l J_{P} f \leq u \mathrm{~J}_{P} f$ is just the inequality $\sup S \leq \inf T$ from remark 8.5
The equivalent conditions $1,2,3$, are suitable re-writings of the "(inf=sup)" equivalences in remark 8.5
However, condition 2 from (inf=sup) says less. It says $\exists s \in S, t \in T$ with $t-s<\varepsilon$
That is, $\exists \Delta^{\prime}, \Delta^{\prime \prime}$ divisions of P such that $U\left(f, \Delta^{\prime \prime}\right)-L\left(f, \Delta^{\prime}\right)<\varepsilon$
But then let $\Delta$ be a division of P such that $\Delta<\Delta^{\prime}, \Delta<\Delta^{\prime \prime}$. Then have $U(f, \Delta) \leq$
$U\left(f, \Delta^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and $L\left(f, \Delta \geq L\left(f, \Delta^{\prime \prime}\right) \Rightarrow U(f, \Delta)-L(f, \Delta) \leq U\left(f, \Delta^{\prime \prime}\right)-L\left(f, \Delta^{\prime}\right)<\varepsilon\right.$ This is how 2 is fixed. Same for 3 .

Proof of Proposition 8.9
Denote $I:=\left.\right|_{P} f$
Have $I=l J_{P} f=\sup \{L(f, \Delta) \mid \Delta$ division of $P\}$
Hence $I \geq L\left(f, \Delta_{k}\right), \forall k \geq 1$
Likewise
$I=\left.u\right|_{P} f=\inf \{U(f, \Delta) \mid \Delta$ division of $P\}$
$\Rightarrow I \leq U(f, \Delta k), \forall k \geq 1$
So have $L\left(f, \Delta_{k}\right) \leq I \leq U\left(f, \Delta_{k}\right), \forall k \geq 1$
Then $\left|I-L\left(f, \Delta_{k}\right)\right|=I-L\left(f, \Delta_{k}\right) \leq U\left(f, \Delta_{k}\right)-L\left(f, \Delta_{k}\right) \rightarrow 0$
So $\left|I-L\left(f, \Delta_{k}\right)\right| \rightarrow 0$ hence $L\left(f, \Delta_{k}\right) \rightarrow I$
Also $U\left(f, \Delta_{k}\right)=L\left(f, \Delta_{k}\right)+\left(U\left(f, \Delta_{k}\right)-L\left(f, \Delta_{k}\right)\right) \rightarrow I+0=0$
QED
2) For every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a division $\Delta$ of P with $U(f, \Delta)-$ $L(f, \Delta)<\varepsilon$
3) There exists a sequence of divisions $\left(\Delta_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of $P$ such that $U\left(f, \Delta_{k}\right)-L\left(f, \Delta_{k}\right) \rightarrow 0$

### 8.8 Definition

$P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}, f: P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ bounded.
If $l \mathrm{~J}_{P} f=u \mathrm{~J}_{P} f$ then we say that f is integrable on $\mathbf{P}$ and we define its integral to be the common value of $l J_{P} f, u \mathrm{~J}_{P} f$. Notation:
$\left.\right|_{P} f$
8.9 Proposition
$P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}, f: P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ bounded, integrable. Suppose $\left(\Delta_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of divisions of P such that $U\left(f, \Delta_{k}\right)-L\left(f, \Delta_{k}\right) \rightarrow 0$
Then we have
$\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} U\left(f, \Delta_{k}\right)=\left.\right|_{P} f=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} L\left(f, \Delta_{k}\right)$

## Linear Combinations of Integrable Functions
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### 9.1 Remark

$A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}, \quad f, g: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, bounded
Consider the sum $h=f+g$
$h: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad h(x)=f(x)+g(x), \forall x \in A$
Have that $\sup _{A} h \leq \sup _{A} f+\sup _{A} g$ and $\inf _{A} h \geq \inf _{A} f+\inf _{A} g$

### 9.2 Lemma

$P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}, \quad f, g: P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ bounded
Consider the sum $f+g$. Then for every division $\Delta$ of P we have
$U(f+g, \Delta) \leq U(f, \Delta)+U(g, \Delta)$ and $L(f+g, \Delta) \geq L(f, \Delta)+L(g, \Delta)$

### 9.3 Proposition

$P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}, f, g: P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ bounded, integrable.
Then $f+g$ is also bounded and integrable, and has $\mathrm{J}_{P} f+g=\mathrm{J}_{P} f+\mathrm{J}_{P} g$

### 9.4 Remark

$P \in \mathbb{P}, f: P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ bounded, integrable.
Then $\alpha f$ is bounded and integrable and has $\mathrm{J}_{p} \alpha f=\alpha \mathrm{J}_{P} f$

### 9.5 Theorem

$P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}$. Let $\operatorname{Int}_{b}(P, \mathbb{R})=\{f: p \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f$ bounded and integrable $\}$ Then $\operatorname{Int}_{b}(P, \mathbb{R})$ is closed under linear combinations and the map
$\operatorname{Int}_{b}(P, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}:\left.f \mapsto\right|_{P} f$ is linear
Question
What about $f \cdot g$, for $f, g \in \operatorname{Int}_{b}(P, \mathbb{R})$

### 9.6 Lemma

If $f \in \operatorname{Int}_{b}(P, \mathbb{R})$ then $f^{2} \in \operatorname{Int}_{b}(P, \mathbb{R})$
Where $f^{2}: P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $\left(f^{2}\right)(x)=(f(x))^{2} \forall x \in P$

### 9.7 Proposition

$f, g \in \operatorname{Int}_{b}(P, \mathbb{R}) \Rightarrow f \cdot g \in \operatorname{Int}_{b}(P, \mathbb{R})$
Where $f \cdot g: P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $(f \cdot g)(x)=f(x) \cdot g(x), x \in P$

Remark 9.1
Addition
$\alpha:=\sup _{A}(f)=\sup \{f(x) \mid x \in A\}$
$\beta:=\sup _{A}^{A}(g)=\sup \{g(x) \mid x \in A\}$
For every $x \in A$ have $h(x)=f(x)+g(x) \geq \alpha+\beta$
So $\alpha+\beta$ is an upper bound for $\{h(x) \mid x \in A\}$. Hence we have
$\sup _{A}(h) \leq \alpha+\beta=\sup _{A}(f)+\sup _{A}(g)$
Same for inf

Proof of Lemma 9.2
Write $\Delta=\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{r}\right\}$. Then
$\left.U(f+g, \Delta)=\rangle_{i=1}^{r} \operatorname{vol}\left(P_{i}\right) \cdot \sup _{P_{i}}(f+g) \leq\right\rangle_{i=1}^{r} \operatorname{vol}\left(P_{i}\right)\left(\sup _{P_{i}}(f)+\sup _{P_{i}}(g)\right)$
$\left.=( \rangle_{i=1}^{r} \operatorname{vol}\left(P_{i}\right) \sup _{P_{i}}(f)\right)+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} \operatorname{vol}\left(P_{i}\right) \sup _{P_{i}}(g)\right)=U(f, \Delta)+U(g, \Delta)$
Inequality for $L(f+g, \Delta)$ done in the same way.
Proof of Proposition 9.3
Use the integrability criterion for $f$ and for $g$.
Get sequences ( $\left.\Delta_{k}^{\prime}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and ( $\left.\Delta_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of divisions of P such that
$U\left(f, \Delta_{k}^{\prime}\right)-L\left(f, \Delta_{k}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow 0$ and $U\left(g, \Delta_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right)-L\left(g, \Delta_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right) \rightarrow 0$
For every $k \geq 1$ let $\Delta_{k}$ be a division of P such that $\Delta_{k} \prec \Delta_{k}^{\prime}, \Delta_{k} \prec \Delta_{k}^{\prime \prime}$
Then also have
$U\left(f, \Delta_{k}\right)-L\left(f, \Delta_{k}\right) \rightarrow 0$ and $U\left(g, \Delta_{k}\right)-L\left(g, \Delta_{k}\right) \rightarrow 0$
For every $k \geq 1$ have
$U\left(f+g, \Delta_{k}\right) \leq U\left(f, \Delta_{k}\right)+U\left(g, \Delta_{k}\right)$ and $L\left(f+g, \Delta_{k}\right) \geq L\left(f, \Delta_{k}\right)+L\left(g, \Delta_{k}\right)$
$U\left(f+g, \Delta_{k}\right)-L\left(f+g, \Delta_{k}\right) \leq U\left(f, \Delta_{k}\right)-L\left(f, \Delta_{k}\right)+U\left(g, \Delta_{k}\right)-L\left(g, \Delta_{k}\right)$
$\rightarrow 0+0=0$
So by squeeze, $U\left(f+g, \Delta_{k}\right)-L\left(f+g, \Delta_{k}\right) \rightarrow 0$ so $f+g$ is integrable.
Moreover, Prop 8.9 says that
$\left.\right|_{P} f+g=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} U\left(f+g, \Delta_{k}\right)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} L\left(f+g, \Delta_{k}\right)$
$U\left(f+g, \Delta_{k}\right) \leq U\left(f, \Delta_{k}\right)+U\left(g, \Delta_{k}\right)$
$L\left(f+g, \Delta_{k}\right) \geq L\left(f, \Delta_{k}\right)+L\left(g, \Delta_{k}\right)$
But then just make $k \rightarrow \infty$
$\left.\right|_{P} f+\left.\right|_{P} g \leq\left.\right|_{P} f+g \leq\left.\right|_{P} f+\left.\right|_{P} g$
So get
$\left.\right|_{P} f+g=\left.\right|_{P} f+\left.\right|_{P} g$ as claimed $Q E D$

### 9.4 Remark

$P \in \mathcal{P}, f: P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ bounded. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, consider new function $\alpha f$ $(\alpha f: P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $(\alpha f)(x)=\alpha f(x) \forall x \in P)$
$\alpha f$ is bounded (immediate)
Have 3 cases: $\alpha>o, \alpha=0, \alpha<0$
Case 1:
For every division $\Delta$ of P get $U(\alpha f, \Delta)=\alpha U(f, \Delta)$ and $L(\alpha f, \Delta)=\alpha L(f, \Delta)$ Take infimum of U's and Supremum of L's.
$\left.u\right|_{P} \alpha f=\alpha\left(\left.u\right|_{P} f\right),\left.l\right|_{P} \alpha f=\alpha\left(\left.l\right|_{P} f\right)$
In particular, if f is integrable then $\alpha f$ is integrable as well with
$\left.\right|_{P} \alpha f=\left.\alpha\right|_{P} f$
Case 2:
Have $\alpha f=0 . \alpha f$ is integrable with $\mathrm{J} \alpha f=0$

Case 3:
For every division $\Delta$ of P have
$U(\alpha f, \Delta)=\alpha L(f, \Delta)$
$L(\alpha f, \Delta)=\alpha L(f, \Delta)$
Problem 4. a) in homework 5. Have there case $\alpha=-1$. General $\alpha<0$ is
treated in the same way.
This implies further that
$\left.u\right|_{P} \alpha f=\alpha\left(\left.l\right|_{P} f\right)$ and $\left.l\right|_{P} \alpha f=\alpha\left(\left.u\right|_{P} f\right)$
If f is integrable, still get conclusion that $\alpha f$ is integrable with
$\left.\right|_{p} \alpha f=\alpha \mid f$

Proof of Theorem 9.5
Statement amounts to 2 things:

1) If $f, g \in \operatorname{Int}_{b}(P, \mathbb{R})$ then $f+g \in \operatorname{Int}_{b}(P, \mathbb{R})$ and $\mathrm{J}_{P} f+g=\mathrm{J}_{P} f+\mathrm{J}_{P} g$ This is Proposition 9.3
2) If $f \in \operatorname{Int}_{b}(P, \mathbb{R})$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ then $\alpha f \in \operatorname{Int}_{b}(P, \mathbb{R})$ and $\mathrm{J}_{P} \alpha f=\alpha \mathrm{J}_{P} f$ This is Proposition 9.4

## Proof of Lemma 9.6

$f^{2}$ bounded - immediate
Take $r>0$ s.t. $|f(x)| \leq r, \forall x \in P$
Then $\left|f^{2}(x)\right| \leq r^{2}, \forall x \in P$
But why is $f^{2}$ integrable?
Recall that if $\Delta=\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{r}\right\}$ is a division of P then
$U(f, \Delta)-L(f, \Delta)=\rangle_{i=1} \operatorname{vol}\left(P_{i}\right) \cdot \operatorname{osc}_{P_{i}}(f)$
Claim 1
Let $r>0$ be such that $|f(x)| \leq r, \forall x \in P$
Then for every $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq P$ we have $\operatorname{osc}_{A}\left(f^{2}\right) \leq 2 r \cdot \operatorname{osc}_{A}(f)$
Verification of Claim 1
Denote $\omega:=\operatorname{osc}_{A}(f)$
Have $\omega:=\sup _{x, y \in A}|f(x)-f(y)|$
In particular, have that $|f(x)-f(y)| \leq \omega \forall x, y \in A$
But then for $x, y \in A$ write
$\left|\left(f^{2}\right)(x)-\left(f^{2}\right)(y)\right|=\left|(f(x))^{2}-(f(y))^{2}\right|=|(f(x)-f(y))(f(x)+f(y))|$

Proof of Proposition 9.7
$(f+g)^{2}=f^{2}+2 f g+g^{2}$
$\Rightarrow f \cdot g=\frac{1}{2}\left((f+g)^{2}-f^{2}-g^{2}\right)$
$f+g \in \operatorname{Int}_{b}(P, \mathbb{R})$ by 9.3
$(f+g)^{2}, f^{2}, g^{2} \in \operatorname{Int}_{b}(P, \mathbb{R})$ by 9.6
$\left((f+g)^{2}-f^{2}-g^{2}\right) \in \operatorname{Int}_{b}(P, \mathbb{R})$ by 9.3
$f \cdot g=\frac{1}{2}\left((f+g)^{2}-f^{2}-g^{2}\right) \in \operatorname{Int}_{b}(P, \mathbb{R})$ by 9.4
QED

## Integrals Respect Inequalities
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### 10.1 Remark

$P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}, f: P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ bounded.
Suppose that $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\alpha \leq f(x) \leq \beta \forall x \in P$ Then for every division $\Delta=\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{r}\right\}$ of P we get
$U(f, \Delta)=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \operatorname{vol}\left(P_{i}\right) \cdot \sup _{P_{i}}(f) \leq \beta \operatorname{vol}(P)$ and
$L(f, \Delta)=\sum_{i=1} \operatorname{vol}\left(P_{i}\right) \cdot \inf _{P_{i}}(f) \geq \alpha \operatorname{vol}(P)$.
Then $\alpha \operatorname{vol}(P) \leq l \int_{P} f \leq u \int_{P} f \leq \beta v o l(P)$. In particular, if f is integrable $\alpha \cdot \operatorname{vol}(P) \leq \mathrm{J}_{P} f \leq \beta v o l(P)$.
This is like a "mean value theorem"
$\alpha \leq\left.\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}(P)}\right|_{P} f \leq b$

### 10.2 Proposition

$P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}$, let $f, g: P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be bounded, integrable functions such that $f(x) \leq g(x) \forall x \in P(f \leq g)$. Then $\mathrm{J}_{P} f \leq \mathrm{J}_{P} g$
10.3 Proposition
$P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}, f: P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ bounded, integrable. Consider $|f|: P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $|f|(x)=|f(x)|$.
Then $|f|$ is bounded and integrable and $\left|\mathrm{J}_{P} f\right| \leq \mathrm{J}_{P}|f|$

Implications of Remark 10.1
If $f(x)=c \forall x$, let $\alpha=\beta=c$. Then f is integrable with $\mathrm{J}_{P} f=c \cdot \operatorname{vol}(P)$
If f is non-negative let $\alpha=0$, then Assuming f is integrable $\alpha \cdot \operatorname{vol}(P) \leq \mathrm{J}_{P} f \Rightarrow 0 \leq \mathrm{J}_{P} f$
Proof of Propositions 10.2
Let $h(x)=g(x)-f(x) . h$ is bounded and integrable and $\mathrm{J}_{P} h=\mathrm{J}_{P} g-\mathrm{J}_{P} f$
Since $g \geq f, h$ is non-negative, so $\mathrm{J}_{P} h \geq 0$. Hence $\mathrm{J}_{P} g \geq \mathrm{J}_{P} f$.

## Proof of Proposition 10.3

Verification of bounded $f$ integrable will be on homework.
Similar to proof of 9.6
$-|f|(x)=-|f(x)| \leq|f(x)|=|f|(x) \forall x \in P$ So $-|f| \leq f \leq|f|$ by prop 10.2
$\left.\right|_{P}-|f| \leq\left.\right|_{P} f \leq\left.\right|_{P}|f| \Rightarrow-\left.\right|_{P}-|f| \leq\left.\right|_{P} f \leq\left.\right|_{P}|f| \Rightarrow| |_{P} f\left|\leq\left.\right|_{P}\right| f \mid$

## Integrals over more general domains in $\mathbb{R}$
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### 11.2 Lemma

$P, Q \in \mathcal{P}_{n}$ such that $Q \subseteq P$
Let $g: Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and let $f: P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $f(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}g(x), x \in Q \\ 0, x \notin Q\end{array}\right.$
Then we have that
g is bounded and is integrable on Q $\Leftrightarrow$
$f$ is bounded and integrable on $P$
Moreover, if these conditions hold then have $\mathrm{J}_{Q} g=\mathrm{J}_{P} f$

### 11.3 Definition and Proposition

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a (nonempty and) bounded set, and let $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded function. Pick a half-open rectangle $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}$ such that $P \supseteq A$ and extend f to a function:
$f: P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by
$f(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}f(x) \text { if } x \in A \\ 0 \text { if } x \in \frac{P}{A}\end{array}\right.$
Then it makes sense to declare:
f is integrable on A
$\Leftrightarrow$
$f$ is integrable on P
Moreover, if f is integrable them it makes sense to declare
$\left.\right|_{A} f:=\left.\right|_{P} f$

### 11.4 Notation

$A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is bounded
Denote $\operatorname{Int}_{b}(A, \mathbb{R})=\{f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f$ is bounded and integrable $\}$

### 11.5 Theorem

$A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, bounded. Then the set of functions $\operatorname{Int}_{b}(A, \mathbb{R})$ is closed under linear combinations, and have

$$
\left.\right|_{A} \alpha f+\beta g=\left.\alpha\right|_{A} f+\left.\beta\right|_{A} g \forall f, g \in \operatorname{Int}_{b}(A, \mathbb{R}), \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}
$$

### 11.6 Remark

Other properties of the integral also go through in the same way.

- $f, g \in \operatorname{Int}_{b}(A, \mathbb{R}) \Rightarrow f \cdot g \in \operatorname{Int}_{b}(A, \mathbb{R})$
- $f \in \operatorname{Int}_{b}(A, \mathbb{R}) \Rightarrow|f| \in \operatorname{Int}_{b}(A, \mathbb{R})$ and $\left|J_{A} f\right| \leq J_{A}|f|$


### 11.7 Remark

$A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ bounded, let $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $f(x)=1 \forall x \in A$
Can we be sure that $f \in \operatorname{Int}_{b}(A, \mathbb{R})$ ?
Say e.g. $n=2$ and $A=\left\{(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \mid 0<s, t<1 s, t \in \mathbb{Q}\right\}$
Then $A \subseteq P=(0,1) \times(0,1)$
$f$ extends to $f: P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where
$f((s, t))= \begin{cases}1, & (s, t) \in A \\ 0, & (s, t) \notin A\end{cases}$
Not integrable.
What was the problem?
One way to look at it: $b d(A)$ was way too large
$b d(A)=c l(A) / \operatorname{int}(A)=|0,1| \times|0,1|$
Will prove that things improve if we assume that $b d(A)$ is "small"

### 11.1 Example

$n=2$. Look at the function f defined by the formula:
$f((s, t))=\sqrt{1-\left(s^{2}+t^{2}\right)}$
$f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, D=\left\{(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: s^{2}+t^{2} \leq 1\right\}=B((0,0), 1)$


Range is half a sphere, Domain is not a rectangle, what do we do?

## Proof of Lemma 11.2

Exercise
Direct verifications by using criterion with sequences of divisions. (Prop 8.7 and Prop 8.9)

Proof of Proposition 11.3
Why does the definition make sense?
Must verify that the definition is independent of the choice of P . So suppose that someone else picks $P_{1} \in \mathcal{P}_{n}$ extends $f$ to $f_{1}: \mathrm{P}_{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by
$f_{1}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}f(x), \text { if } x \in A \\ 0 \text { if } x \in \frac{P_{1}}{A}\end{array}\right.$
Must verify that
$f$ integrable on $\mathrm{P} \Leftrightarrow f_{1}$ integrable on $P_{1}$
Moreover, if these conditions hold then want $\mathrm{J}_{P} f=\mathrm{J}_{P_{1}} f_{1}$
Denote $Q=P \cap P_{1}$. have $Q \in \mathcal{P}_{n}$ and $Q \supseteq A$
Let $f: Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by
$g(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}f(x), & x \in A \\ 0, & x \in Q / A\end{array}\right.$
Observe: $Q \subseteq P$ and $f$ extends $g$ with 0 .
$Q \subseteq P_{1}$ and $f_{1}$ extends $g$ with 0 .
Apply lemma 11.2 twice
$f$ integrable on $\mathrm{P} \Leftrightarrow$
$g$ integrable on $\mathrm{Q} \Leftrightarrow$
$f_{1}$ integrable on $P_{1}$
If these considerations hold then Lemma 11.2 also says
$\left.\right|_{P} f=\left.\right|_{Q} g=\left.\right|_{P_{1}} f_{1}$

## Proof of Theorem 11.5

Take $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}$ such that $P \supseteq A$
Extend $f, g \in \operatorname{Int}_{b}(A, \mathbb{R})$ to $f, g \in \operatorname{Int}_{b}(P, \mathbb{R})$, then we use Theorem 9.5 for $f, g$. QED

## Integrability for Continuous Functions Modulo Null Sets
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### 12.1 Definition

$C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a null set when the following happens:
$\forall \varepsilon>0, \exists$ a finite family $Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{s} \in \mathcal{P}_{n}$ such that
$\left(\int_{i=1}^{s} Q_{s} \supseteq C \text { and }\right\rangle_{i=1}^{s} \operatorname{vol}\left(Q_{i}\right)<\varepsilon$

### 12.3 Remark

In definition 12.1 there were two requirements

1) $\int^{s} Q_{s} \supseteq C$
) $\sum_{i=1}^{\substack{i=1 \\ s}} \operatorname{vol}\left(Q_{i}\right)<\varepsilon$
But did not ask for
2) $Q_{i} \cap Q_{j}=\varnothing \forall i \neq j$

But observe that if C is a null set, then we can always arrange $Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{s}$ to also satisfy (3). This is done by refining $Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{s}$ as necessary.

### 11.4 Remark

These are some obvious properties satisfied by null sets

- If $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a null set and if $D \subseteq C$ then D is a null set as well
- If $C_{1}, C_{2} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ are null sets then $C_{1} \cup C_{2}$ is also a null set.


## Lemma ('Two Ways of Being Small')

$P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}, f: P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ bounded function.
Suppose that $\forall \varepsilon>0$ we can find a division
$\Delta=\left\{Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{u}, R_{1}, \ldots, R_{v}\right\}$
Such that (Way 1 ) + (Way 2 ) hold.
(Way 1):

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{u} \operatorname{vol}\left(Q_{j}\right)<\varepsilon
$$

(Way 2):

$$
\operatorname{osc}_{R_{k}}(f)<\varepsilon \forall 1 \leq k \leq v
$$

Then $f$ is integrable on P

### 12.5 Theorem

$A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ bounded (nonempty) set such that $b d(A)$ is a null set. Let $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded function.
Suppose we found $B, G \subseteq A$ (B-bad, G-good) such that
i) $B \cup G=A, B \cap G=\varnothing$
ii) f is continuous at every $x \in \mathrm{G}$
iii) B is a null set

Then $f$ is integrable on A

## Note

For exam might need to know individual parts or the outline of the whole proof of the above theorem.
12.6 Corollary (Special case $B=\varnothing$ )
$A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ bounded with $b d(A)$ is a null set. $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a bounded continuous function. Then $f$ is integrable.
12.2 Example of Null Set
$C=\left\{(t, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: 0 \leq t \leq 1\right\}$
Claim: $C$ is a null subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$
Verification of Claim: Given $\varepsilon>0$
Pick $k \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $\frac{1}{k}<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. For $0 \leq i \leq k$ let $Q_{i}=\left(\frac{i-1}{k}, \frac{i}{k} \left\lvert\, \times\left(\frac{i-1}{k}, \frac{i}{k}\right) \in \mathcal{P}_{2}\right.\right.$
Then $\bigcup_{i=0}^{k} Q_{i} \supseteq C, \quad \sum_{i=0}^{k} \operatorname{vol}\left(Q_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{k} \frac{1}{k^{2}}=\frac{k+1}{k^{2}} \leq \frac{2 k}{k^{2}}=\frac{2}{k}<\varepsilon$
Comment
This example generalizes naturally to cases when C is the graph of a p-Lipschitz function $h: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$
$D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{m}$ with $m<n$

## Proof of Lemma

Use integrability criterion from Prop 8.7, in the form with $\varepsilon$. Given $\varepsilon>0$ have to find a division $\Delta$ of P such that $U(f, \Delta)-L(f, \Delta)<\varepsilon$

We apply the hypothesis for a suitable $\varepsilon^{\prime}>0$.
Let $\varepsilon^{\prime}=\frac{\varepsilon}{1+\operatorname{vol}(\mathrm{P})+\operatorname{osc}_{\mathrm{P}}(f)}$
Hypothesis gives us $\Delta=\left\{Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{u}, R_{1}, \ldots, R_{v}\right\}$ such that
$\rangle^{u} \operatorname{vol}\left(Q_{j}\right)<\varepsilon^{\prime}$ and $\operatorname{osc}_{R_{k}}(f)<\varepsilon^{\prime} \forall 1 \leq k \leq v$
$i=1$
$U(f, \Delta)-L(f, \Delta)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \operatorname{vol}\left(Q_{j}\right) \cdot \operatorname{osc}_{Q_{j}}(f)+\sum_{k=1}^{v} \operatorname{vol}\left(R_{k}\right) \cdot \operatorname{osc}_{P_{k}}(f)$
$<\sum_{j=1}^{n} \operatorname{vol}\left(Q_{j}\right) \cdot \operatorname{osc}_{P}(f)+\sum_{k=1}^{v} \operatorname{vol}\left(R_{k}\right) \cdot \varepsilon^{\prime}<\operatorname{osc}_{P}(f) \varepsilon^{\prime}+\varepsilon^{\prime} \operatorname{vol}(P)=\varepsilon^{\prime}\left(\operatorname{osc}_{P}(f)+\operatorname{vol}(P)\right)$
$=\frac{\varepsilon\left(\operatorname{osc}_{P}(f)+\operatorname{vol}(P)\right)}{1+\operatorname{vol}(P)+\operatorname{osc}_{P}(f)}<\varepsilon$

Proof of Theorem 12.5
(Using $A, f, B, G$ as in the theorem definition)
Enclose A in a rectangle $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}$ and extend $f$ to a function $f: P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by
$f(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}f(x), & x \in A \\ 0, & x \notin A\end{array}\right.$
WLOG (by enlarging P as necessary) may assume that $\operatorname{cl}(A) \subseteq \operatorname{int}(P)$
Consider the set $C=B \cup b d(A) \subseteq c l(A) \subseteq \operatorname{int}(P)$
Observe C is a null set.

Claim 1:
$f$ is continuous at every $x \in P \backslash C$
Verification of Claim 1:
Fix $x \in \mathrm{P} \backslash \mathrm{C}$. Observe that $x \in(\mathrm{P} \backslash \operatorname{cl}(\mathrm{A})) \cup \operatorname{int}(\mathrm{A})$ (everywhere except the boundary)
Case I: $x \in \mathrm{P} \backslash \operatorname{cl}(\mathrm{A})=\operatorname{int}(\mathrm{P} \backslash \mathrm{A})$
In this case, can find $r>0$ such that $B(x ; r) \cap A=\emptyset$. Hence $f \equiv 0$ on $B(x ; r) \cap P$ and it
follows that $f$ is continuous at $x$
Case II: $x \in \operatorname{int}(\mathrm{~A})$. In this case can find $r>0$ such that $B(x ; r) \subseteq A$. For this $r>0$ we have that $f(y)=f(y), \forall y \in B(x ; r)$. But observe that $x \in \mathrm{G}$ since $x \notin \mathrm{C} \Rightarrow x \notin \mathrm{~B}$
So $x \in G \Rightarrow f$ is continuous at $x \Rightarrow f$ is continuous at $x$
Done with claim.
Claim 2:
For every $\varepsilon>0$ we can find some $Q_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, Q_{s}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{P}_{n}$ such that $C \subseteq Q_{1}^{\prime} \cup \cdots \cup Q_{s}^{\prime} \subseteq P$ with
$\sum_{j=1}^{s} \operatorname{vol}\left(Q_{j}^{\prime}\right)<\varepsilon$ (Since $C$ is a null set)
and such that $f$ is uniformly continuous on $P \backslash\left(Q_{1}^{\prime} \cup \cdots \cup Q_{s}{ }^{\prime}\right) \subseteq P \backslash C$
Verification of Claim 2
$C$ is a null set, $C \subseteq \operatorname{int}(P) \Rightarrow$ can find $Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{s} \in \mathcal{P}_{n}$ with $C \subseteq Q_{1} \cup \cdots \cup Q_{s} \subseteq \operatorname{int}(P)$
and such that $\sum_{j=1}^{s} \operatorname{vol}\left(Q_{j}\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$
For $i \leq j \leq s$ pick $Q_{j}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{P}_{n}, Q_{j}^{\prime} \subseteq \operatorname{int}(P)$ such that $Q_{j} \subseteq \operatorname{int}\left(Q_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\operatorname{vol}\left(Q_{j}^{\prime}\right)<2 \cdot \operatorname{vol}\left(Q_{j}\right)$
Then $C \subseteq Q_{1} \cup \cdots \cup Q_{s} \subseteq \operatorname{int}\left(Q_{1}^{\prime}\right) \cup \cdots \cup \operatorname{int}\left(Q_{s}^{\prime}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{int}\left(Q_{1}^{\prime} \cup \cdots Q_{s}^{\prime}\right)$ and
$\left.\sum_{j=1}^{s} \operatorname{vol}\left(Q_{i}^{\prime}\right)<2\right\rangle_{j=1}^{s} \operatorname{vol}\left(Q_{j}\right)<2 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{2}=\varepsilon$
Consider the compact set $K=\operatorname{cl}(P) \backslash \operatorname{int}\left(Q_{1}^{\prime} \cup \cdots \cup Q_{s}^{\prime}\right)$. K is compact since $c l(P)$ is compact and removing an open set.
$f$ is continuous at every point of $\mathrm{K}($ where for $\dot{y} \in \operatorname{cl}(P) \backslash \mathrm{P}$ we put $f(\dot{y})=0)$ By claim 1.
Since it is continuous at point in a compact set K , f is uniformly continuous on K
Therefore, $f$ is continuous on $P \backslash \mathrm{C}=P \backslash \operatorname{int}\left(Q_{1}^{\prime} \cup \cdots \cup Q_{s}^{\prime}\right) \subseteq K$
Claim 3
Given $\varepsilon>0$ can find a division $\Delta=\left\{Q_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, Q_{u}^{\prime \prime}, R_{1}, \ldots, R_{v}\right\}$ of P such that $u$
$\sum_{1} \operatorname{vol}\left(Q_{j}^{\prime \prime}\right)<\varepsilon$ and such that $o s C_{R_{k}}(f)<\varepsilon \forall 1 \leq r \leq v$

Verification of Claim 3
Take $Q_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, Q_{s}^{\prime}$ as in Claim 2. Make them become disjoint by performing intersections and by eliminating redundant pieces.
In this way, $Q_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, Q_{s}^{\prime} \rightarrow Q_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, Q_{u}^{\prime \prime}$ and $\rangle_{j=1} \operatorname{vol}\left(Q_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)<\varepsilon$
On the other hand, $f$ is uniformly continuous on $P \backslash\left(Q_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, Q_{u}^{\prime \prime}\right)$
Hence $\exists \delta>0$ s.t. $x, y \in P \backslash\left(Q_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, Q_{u}^{\prime \prime}\right),\|x-y\|<\delta \Rightarrow|f(x)-f(y)|<\varepsilon$
Complete $Q_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, Q_{u}^{\prime \prime}$ to a division $\left\{Q_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, Q_{u}^{\prime \prime}, R_{1}, \ldots, R_{v}\right\}$ such that $\operatorname{diam}\left(R_{k}\right)<\delta \forall 1 \leq k \leq v$ Then $\operatorname{osc}_{R_{k}}(f)<\varepsilon \forall 1 \leq k \leq v$

By the above 'Two-ways of being small' Lemma, $f$ is integrable on $P$
Therefore, $f$ is integrable on $A \subseteq P$
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### 13.1 Remark

$A \subseteq R^{n}$ bounded, $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ bounded function
From L12 have good criterion (Theorem 12.5) for $f$ to be integrable.

### 13.2 Remark and Notation

Say $n=p+q$, with $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$

- For $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{p}, B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{q}$ define Cartesian product

$$
A \times B=\{(a, b): a \in A, b \in B\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

- Every $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}$ can be written as $P=M \times N$ with $M \in \mathcal{P}_{p}, N \in \mathcal{P}_{q}$ $P=\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right\rfloor \times \cdots \times\left(a_{p}, b_{p}\right) \times\left(a_{p+1}, b_{p+1}\right) \times \cdots \times\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right\rfloor$
- Let $P=M \times N$ be as above Let $f: P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function For every $v \in \mathrm{M}$ define partial function $f_{v}: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $f_{v}(w):=f(\dot{v}, w), \quad w \in W$

Notation
Notation used sometimes for $f_{v}$ is $f(\dot{v}, \cdot)$
13.3 Theorem (Fubini)
$P=M \times N$ and $f: P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as above.
Suppose that
i) $f \in \operatorname{Int}_{b}(P, \mathbb{R})$
ii) For every $v \in \mathbb{M}$, the partial function $f_{v}: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ belongs to $\operatorname{Int}_{b}(N, \mathbb{R})$
Define a function $F: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
F(\dot{v})=\left.\right|_{N} f_{v}, \quad \dot{v} \in M
$$

Then $F \in \operatorname{Int}_{b}(M, \mathbb{R})$ and $\left.\right|_{M} F=\left.\right|_{P} f$
13.4 Remark

Write $\dot{x} \in \mathrm{P}$ as $\dot{x}=(\dot{v}, \dot{w})$ with $\dot{v} \in V$ and $w \in \mathrm{~W}$
$\left.\right|_{P} f$ is also written $\left.\right|_{P} f(x) d x$ or as $\left.\right|_{P} f(v, w) d v d w$
$=\left.\right|_{P} f(\dot{v}, w) d(\dot{v}, w)$
Left hand side of boxed formula is
$\left.\right|_{M} F(\dot{v}) d v=\left.\right|_{M}\left(\left.\right|_{N} f_{v}\left(w^{\dot{\prime}}\right) d \dot{w}\right) d \dot{v}=\left.\right|_{M}\left(\left.\right|_{N} f\left(\dot{v}, w^{\dot{w}}\right) d \dot{w}\right) d \dot{v}$
So can say that
$\left.\right|_{M \times N} f(\dot{v}, w \dot{w}) d \dot{v} d w=\left.\right|_{M}\left(\left.\right|_{N} f(\dot{v}, w \dot{w}) d \dot{w}\right) d \dot{v}$
Result: Reduces dimensionality of integrals to be calculated.
13.6 Remark

By symmetry, Fubini also applies to iterated integrals with components considered in another order.
$\left.\right|_{P} f(\dot{v}, \dot{w}) d(\dot{v}, w)=\left.\right|_{N}\left(\left.\right|_{M} f(\dot{v}, w) d \dot{v}\right) d w$
Holding if:
i) $f \in \operatorname{Int}_{b}(P, \mathbb{R})$
ii) $f_{w} \in \operatorname{Int}_{b}(M, \mathbb{R}), \quad \forall w \in N$ where $f_{w}=f(\cdot, w)$

Or could, by example have
$P=\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right) \times\left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right) \times\left(a_{3}, b_{3}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{3}$
$\left.\right|_{P} f(x, y, z) d(x, y, z)=\left.\right|_{\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right\rfloor \times\left(a_{3}, b_{3}\right]}\left(\left.\right|_{a_{2}} ^{b_{2}} f(x, y, z) d y\right) d(x, z)$
With two suitable conditions i), ii)

## Example

$n=2$
$A=\left\{(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: s^{2}+t^{2} \leq 1\right\}$, closed unit disk
$b d(A)=\left\{(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: s^{2}+t^{2}=1\right\}$ a null set in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$
Due to theorem 12.5 every continuous function $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is integrable.
But how to calculate $\mathrm{J}_{A} f$
Concrete example to follow:
$f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad f((s, t))=\sqrt{1-\left(s^{2}+t^{2}\right)}$
We calculate $\mathrm{J}_{A} f$ by a method called "theorem of Fubini"
Enclose $A \subseteq P=(-2,2 \mid \times(-2,2 \mid$
$f: P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by putting $f(x)=0 \forall x \in P \backslash \mathrm{~A}$
By definition have $\left.\right|_{A} f=\left.\right|_{P} f$ and we calcuate $\left.\right|_{P} f$ with Fubini
For $v \in M=\left(-2,-2 \mid\right.$ look at the partial function $f_{v}: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
Have $f_{v}=0$ for $v \in(-2,-1] \cup[1,2]$
For $v \in(-1,1)$ we get
$f_{v}:\left(-2,2 \mid \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad f_{v}(w)=f(v, w)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}\sqrt{1-\left(v^{2}+w^{2}\right)}, \quad|w| \leq \sqrt{1-v^{2}} \\ 0, \quad \text { otherwise }\end{array}\right.\right.$
Note that $f_{v}$ is continuous hence integrable. So hypothesis (ii) of Fubini holds. Also have hypothesis (i) since $f \in \operatorname{Int}_{b}(P, \mathbb{R})$

So apply Fubini. Define $F:(-2,2\rfloor \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by
$F(v)=\left.\right|_{-2} ^{2} f_{v}(w) d w= \begin{cases}\frac{\pi\left(1-v^{2}\right)}{2}, & v \in(-1,1) \\ 0, & v \in(-2,-1\rfloor \cup[1,2\rfloor\end{cases}$
Finally,
$\left.\right|_{A} f=\left.\right|_{P} f=\left.\right|_{-2} ^{2} F(v) d v=\left.\right|_{-1} ^{1} \frac{\pi\left(1-v^{2}\right)}{2} d v=\frac{2 \pi}{3}$
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## (A) Integrals and Volumes

### 14.1 Definition

$A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}, f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(x) \geq 0, \forall x \in A$
Graph of $f$ is $\Gamma=\left\{(x, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid x \in A, x \in \mathbb{R}, z=f(x)\right\}$
The set $S=\left\{(x, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid x \in A, z \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq x \leq f(x)\right\}$ is called the subgraph of $f$.

### 14.3 Proposition

$A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ bounded set, $f \in \operatorname{Int}_{b}(A, \mathbb{R})$ such that $f(x) \geq 0, \forall x \in$ $A$. Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be the subgraph of f . Then S has volume (in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $\operatorname{vol}(S)=J_{A} f$.

Comment
The proposition equates
$\left.\right|_{A} f=\left.\right|_{S} 1$
LHS is n dimensional, RHS is $\mathrm{n}+1$ dimensional.
Proof by following Darboux sums. Darboux sums for $f$ can be interpreted as volumes in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, which "approximate" vol $(S)$

### 14.6 Remark

In calculations it is sometimes convenient to replaces values of functions on a null set.

## Underlying fact:

$P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}, f, g: P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ bounded functions.
Suppose $\exists N \subseteq P$ null set such that $f(x)=g(x) \forall x \in P \backslash$ N. If $f \in \operatorname{Int}_{b}(P, \mathbb{R})$ then $g \in \operatorname{Int}(P, \mathbb{R})$ and $\mathrm{J}_{P} g=\mathrm{J}_{P} f$

Proof of fact
Done by analysis of divisions of P

## (B) Polar Coordinates

14.8 Definition

For $0 \leq r_{1}<r_{2}$ the set $A=\left\{(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \mid r_{1}<\sqrt{s^{2}+t^{2}} \leq r_{2}\right\}$ will be called the half-open annulus of radii $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ centered at $(0,0)$

For such Annulus A, the map $T:\left(r_{1}, r_{2} \mid \times(0,2 \pi) \rightarrow A\right.$ $T((r, \theta))=(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta)$
is called parameterization of A by polar coordinates.
On $R=\left(r_{1}, r_{2} \mid \times(0,2 \pi)\right.$
Vertical segments (constant $r$ ) become circles of radius $r$ inside A.
Horizontal segments (constant $\theta$ ) become chord of angle $\theta$ in A.

T is a bijective map between $\left(r_{1}, r_{2} \mid \times(0,2 \pi)\right.$ and A

### 14.9 Proposition

A and R as above. Let $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded function. Let $g: R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the composted function $g=f \circ T$
$g(x)=f(T(x)), \quad x \in R$
More precisely, $g((r, \theta))=f(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta)$
Then $\left.\right|_{A} f((s, t)) d(s, t)=\left.\right|_{R} g((r, \theta)) r d(r, \theta) \quad[P C]$
Where does the rin $\mathrm{J}_{R} g((r, \theta)) r d(r, \theta)$ come from?
r is the Jacobian of T at $(r, \theta)$
$\left.\left.\right|_{A} f=\left.\right|_{R} g \cdot J, \quad J: R \rightarrow \mathbb{R} ; J(r, \theta)\right)=r \forall(r, \theta) \in R$
$J$ is the Jacobian function for polar coordinates .
The discussion of Jacobian is in terms of partial derivatives (taken for $T: R \rightarrow A$ )

## 14.2/4 Example

$n=2, A=\left\{(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \mid s^{2}+t^{2} \leq 1\right\}$
$f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f((s, t))=\sqrt{1-\left(s^{2}+t^{2}\right)}$
Subgraph of f is
$\left.s=\left\{(s, t, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \mid s^{2}+t^{2} \leq 1,0 \leq z \leq \sqrt{1-\left(s^{2}+t^{2}\right.}\right)\right\}=\left\{(s, t, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \mid s^{2}+t^{2}+z^{2} \leq 1, z \geq 0\right\}$
On Wednesday calculated $\mathrm{J}_{A} f=\frac{2 \pi}{3}$. S subgraph $\int$ of f has $\operatorname{vol}(S)=\frac{2 \pi}{3}$
Moral: Volume of closed unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ is equal to $\frac{4 \pi}{3}$

### 14.5 Remark

Another way to calculate volume of unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Take the open unit ball.
$B=\left\{(s, t, z) \mid s^{2}+t^{2}+z^{2}<1\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{3}$
Enclose $B$ with $C=(-1,1) \times(-1,1) \times(-1,1)$
Have $\operatorname{vol}(B)=\left.\right|_{B} 1=\left.\right|_{C} I_{B}(x) d x=\left.\right|_{-1} ^{1}\left(\left.\right|_{(-1,1) \times(-1,1]} I_{B}(s, t, z) d(s, t)\right) d z$
Fix $z$ and look at partial function
$\left(-1,1 \mid \times\left(-1,1 \mid \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad(s, t) \mapsto I_{B}(s, t, z)\right.\right.$
$I_{B}(s, t, z)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}1 \text { if }(s, t, z) \in B \\ 0 \text { if }(s, t, z) \notin B\end{array}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}1 \text { if } d((s, t),(0,0))<\sqrt{1-z^{2}} \\ 0 \text { otherwise }\end{array}\right.\right.$
Get $\left.\right|_{(-1,1] \times(-1,1)} I_{B}(s, t, z) d(s, t)=\pi\left(1-z^{2}\right)$
$\operatorname{vol}(B)=\left.\right|_{-1} ^{1} \pi\left(1-z^{2}\right) d z=\pi\left|z-\frac{z^{3}}{3}\right|_{-1}^{1}=\frac{4 \pi}{3}$
14.6 Illustration of Use

Let $B=\left\{(s, t, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \mid s^{2}+t^{2}+z^{2}<1\right\}, B=\left\{(s, t, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \mid s^{2}+t^{2}+z^{2} \leq 1\right\}$
How do I know $\operatorname{vol}(B)=\operatorname{vol}(B)$ ?
Have $B, B \subseteq P=(-2,2) \times(-2,2) \times(-2,2 \mid$
So $\operatorname{vol}(B)=\left.\right|_{P} I_{B}(x) d x, \quad \operatorname{vol}(B)=\left.\right|_{P} I_{B}(x) d x$
Take $f=I_{B}, g=I_{B}$ in 'fact', have that $f, g$ agree on $P \backslash \mathrm{~N}$ where
$N=\left\{(s, t, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \mid s^{2}+t^{2}+z^{2}=1\right\}$ (null set)
14.7 Polar Coordinates Example

Look again at $A=\left\{(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid s^{2}+t^{2} \leq 1\right\}$
$f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f((s, t))=\sqrt{1-\left(s^{2}+t^{2}\right)}$
Calculated in 2 ways that $\left.\right|_{A} f=\frac{2 \pi}{3}$
Now a third way. Write $A$ as a union of circles of radii $r \in[0,1]$ centered at ( 0,0 ). On circle of radius $r$ have $s^{2}+t^{2}=r^{2}$ hence $f((s, t))=\sqrt{1-r^{2}}$
Could then have $\left.\right|_{A} f ?=\left.\right|_{0} ^{1} 2 \pi r \sqrt{1-r^{2}} d r$
Check
$\left.\right|_{0} ^{1} 2 \pi r \sqrt{1-r^{2}} d r=\left.\right|_{0} ^{1} \pi \sqrt{u} d u$
$u=1-r^{2} \Rightarrow d u=-2 r d r$
$\left.\right|_{0} ^{1} \pi \sqrt{u} d u=\left|\pi \frac{2}{3} u^{\frac{3}{2}}\right|_{1}^{0}=\frac{2 \pi}{3}$
But why does this hold? Is it Fubini?

### 14.10 Example

Make $r_{1}=0, r_{2}=1$
$A=\left\{(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: 0<\sqrt{s^{2}+t^{2}} \leq 1\right\}=B((0,0) ; 1) \backslash\{(0,0)\}$ This is called a "Punctured Disk"
Let $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad f((s, t))=\sqrt{1-\left(s^{2}+t^{2}\right)}$
Have $f \in \operatorname{Int}_{b}(A, \mathbb{R})$
$b d(A)=\left\{(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: s^{2}+t^{2}=1\right\} \cup\{(0,0)\}$ null set
f is bounded and continuous on A so f is integrable on A
Let $R=(0,1 \mid \times(0,2 \pi)$, define $g: R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by
$g((r, \theta))=f\left(\left(r^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta, r^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta\right)\right)=\sqrt{1-\left((r \cos \theta)^{2}+(r \sin \theta)^{2}\right)}=\sqrt{1-r^{2}}$
Integrate for polar coordinates:

$$
\left.\right|_{A} f=\left.\right|_{(0,1 \mid \times(0,2 \theta)} r \sqrt{1-r^{2}} d(r, \theta)=\left.\right|_{0} ^{1}\left(\left.\right|_{0} ^{2 \pi} r \sqrt{1-r^{2}} d \theta\right) d r=\left.\right|_{0} ^{1} 2 \pi r \sqrt{1-r^{2}} d r=\frac{2 \pi}{3}
$$
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15.1 Definition
$A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}, a \in \operatorname{int}(A)$, Let $v$ be any vector in A
Let $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function.
If $\lim _{\substack{t \rightarrow 0 \\ t \neq 0}} \frac{f(a+t v)-f(a)}{t} \in \mathbb{R}$ exists
Then we say that f has directional derivative at $a$ in direction $v$
Notation for the limit:
$\left(\partial_{v} f\right)(a):=\lim _{\substack{t \rightarrow 0 \\ t \neq 0}} \frac{f(a+t v)-f(a)}{t}$

### 15.2 Remark

Notations as in Definition 15.1

1. If $v=0$, then $\left(\partial_{0}\right)(a)$ is sure to exist, and $\left(\partial_{0}\right)(a)=0$
2. Now suppose $v \neq 0$, hence $\|v\|>0$

Have $a \in \operatorname{int}(\mathrm{~A})$, so $\exists r>0$ s.t. $B(a, r) \subseteq A$
Then it makes sense to define
$\varphi:\left(-\frac{r}{\|\dot{v}\|}, \frac{r}{\|v\|}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\varphi(t)=f(a+t \hat{v}), \quad-\frac{r}{\|\dot{v}\|}<t<\frac{r}{\|v\|}$
Indeed, if $|t|<\frac{r}{\|v\|}$, hence $\|(a+t v)-a\|=\|t v\|=|t|\|v\|<r$
So $-\frac{r}{\|v\|}<t<\frac{r}{\|v\|} \Rightarrow a+t v \in B(a ; r) \subseteq A, \quad$ and $f(a+t v)$ is defined
$\varphi$ is called the partial function of f around the point $a$ in direction $v$
$\lim _{\substack{t \rightarrow 0 \\ t \neq 0}} \frac{f(a+t v)-f(a)}{t}=\lim _{\substack{t \rightarrow 0 \\ t \neq 0}} \frac{\varphi(t)-\varphi(0)}{t}=\varphi^{\prime}(0)$ When the derivative exists

### 15.3 Definition

$A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}, a \in \operatorname{int}(A)$. Fix $1 \leq i \leq n$
Let $e_{i}=(0, \ldots, 0,1,0, \ldots, 0)$ be the $i^{\text {th }}$ vector of the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$
If $\left(\partial_{e_{i}} f\right)(a)$ exists then this is called the $i^{t h}$ partial derivative of $f$ at $a$ denoted as $\left(\partial_{i} f\right)(a)$

### 15.4 Definition

$f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad a \in \operatorname{int}(A)$ and suppose that $\left(\partial_{i} f\right)(a)$ exists for every $1 \leq i \leq n$.
The vector $\left(\left(\partial_{1} f\right)(a),\left(\partial_{2} f\right)(a), \ldots,\left(\partial_{n} f\right)(a)\right) \in \mathbb{R}$
is called the gradient vector of f at $a$, denoted $(\nabla f)(a)$
$\nabla=$ "Nabla" or "Grad" for gradient.

### 15.5 Proposition

$A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}, \quad f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad a \in \operatorname{int}(A)$
Let $v \neq 0$ be in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and suppose that $\left(\partial_{v} f\right)(a)$ exists.
Then for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ the directional derivative exists as well
[H - Homogeneity] $\left(\partial_{\alpha v} f\right)(a)=\alpha\left(\partial_{v} f\right)(a)$
15.6 Remark
$A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}, \quad f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad a \in \operatorname{int}(A)$
Suppose that $\left(\partial_{v} f\right)(a)$ exists for all $v \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{n}}$. So can define function:
$L: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad L(v)=\left(\partial_{v} f\right)(a)$
Proposition 15.5 says $L(\alpha v)=\alpha L(v) \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, v \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$

Proof of Proposition 15.5
If $\alpha=0$ then [H] amounts to $0=0$ so assume $\alpha \neq 0$. Denote $\alpha v=w$
Must verify existence of
$\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a+t w)-f(a)}{t}=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a+t \alpha v)-f(a)}{t \alpha} \alpha={ }_{1} \lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a+s v)-f(a)}{s} \alpha$
$\stackrel{t \neq 0}{=}{ }_{1}$ : Put $s=t \alpha$ when $t \rightarrow 0, t \neq 0$ get $s \rightarrow 0, s \neq 0$
This limit does exist and equals $\left(\partial_{v} f\right)(a) \alpha$

## Question

Isn't L additive as well? So it would be a linear function
If yes, then for every $v=\left(v^{(1)}, \ldots, v^{(m)}\right) \in \mathbb{R}$ write $\left.v=\right\rangle_{i=1} v^{(i)} e_{i}$ and get
$L(v)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} v^{(i)} L\left(e_{i}\right) \Rightarrow\left(\partial_{v} f\right)(a)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} v^{(i)}\left(\delta_{i} f\right)(a)$

## Answer

No: (
Problem 4 in homework 7 gives a function $f: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f$ is continuous and $\left(\partial_{v} f\right)(a)$ exist for all $a \in \mathbb{R}^{2}, v \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$
And yet, if we put
$L(v)=\left(\partial_{v f}\right)(0) v \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$
Then $L$ is not linear.
What do we do to get the answer "Yes"?
Go to the concept of a $C^{1}$ function

## $C^{1}$ functions

November-11-11
11:58 AM

### 16.1 Remark

Directional/partial derivatives as functions.
$A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ open set, $\quad f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad v \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$
If $\left(\partial_{v} f\right)(a)$ exists for every $a \in A$ then we get a new function
$\partial_{v} f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
called the directional derivative of $f$ in direction $v$
Special case: $v=e_{i}$
If $\left(\partial_{i} f\right)(a)$ exists for every $a \in A$ then we get a new function
$\partial_{i} f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
called the $\boldsymbol{i}^{\boldsymbol{t h}}$ partial derivative of $f$.

### 16.2 Definition

$A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$. A function $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be a $\boldsymbol{C}^{\mathbf{1}}$-function when it has the following properties:

- $f$ is continuous on A
- $f$ has partial derivatives at every $a \in A$
- The new functions $\partial_{i} f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, 1 \leq i \leq n$ are continuous on A

The collection of all $C^{1}$-functions from A to $\mathbb{R}$ is denoted $C^{1}(A, \mathbb{R})$

## Note

One uses the notation
$C^{0}(A, \mathbb{R})=\{f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f$ is continuous on A$\}$
Will also encounter $C^{2}(A, \mathbb{R}), C^{3}(A, \mathbb{R}), \ldots, C^{\infty}(A, \mathbb{R})$
$C^{n}(A, \mathbb{R})$ defined as the set of all continuous functions whose partial derivatives are in $C^{n-1}(A, \mathbb{R})$

### 16.4 Theorem

$A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ open, $f \in C^{1}(A, \mathbb{R})$.
Then for every $a \in$ A we have
$\left.\lim _{\substack{x \rightarrow a \\ x \neq a}} \frac{|f(x)-f(a)-\langle x-a,(\nabla f)(a)\rangle|}{\|x-a\|}=0 \quad \right\rvert\,$ L-approx|
where $(\nabla f)(a)=\left(\left(\partial_{1} f\right)(a), \ldots,\left(\partial_{n} f\right)(a)\right)$
To prove this we do
16.5 Lemma
(Mean Value Theorem in direction $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{1} \leq \mathbf{i} \leq \mathbf{n}$ )
$A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ open, $\quad f \in C^{1}(A, \mathbb{R}), \quad a \in A$.
Let $r>0$ be such that $B(a ; r) \subseteq A$.
Let $i$ be an index in $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and let $x, y \in B(a ; r)$ be such that they only possibly differ on the component $i\left(\right.$ So $\left.x^{(j)}=y^{(y)}, \forall 1 \leq j \leq n, j \neq i\right)$

Then $\exists b \in B(a ; r)$ such that
$f(y)-f(x)=\left(y^{(i)}-x^{(i)}\right)\left(\partial_{i} f\right)(b) \quad\lfloor M V T$ direction $i\rfloor$

### 16.6 Definition (Geometry)

$x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$
The line segment connecting $x$ and $y$ is the set
$C o(x, y):=\{(1-t) x+t y \mid t \in[0,1\rfloor\}$

## $x=x+0$

$y=x+(y-x)$
We do $x+t(y-x), 0 \leq t \leq 1$ to cover the line segment from $x$ to $y$

### 16.7 Proposition

MVT in direction $v$
$A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ open $, f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, v \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$
Suppose that

- $f$ is continuous on A
- $\left(\partial_{v} f\right)(a)$ exists for every $a \in A$
- The new function $\partial_{v} f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous on A

Suppose we have $x, y \in A$ such that $y-x=\alpha v$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and such that $C o(x, y) \subseteq A$.
Then $\exists b \in \operatorname{Co}(x, y)$ s.t. $f(y)-f(x)=\alpha\left(\partial_{v f}\right)(b)$
Geometric Interpretation of L-Approx.
Instead of getting a tangent line to the graph of $f$, we get a tangent hyperplane to the graph of $f$.
The hyperplane is an $n$-dimensional subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$

### 16.8 Remark (Geometry)

Given $m \in \mathbb{N}, p \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$
How do we write the equation of a hyperplane $H \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{m}$ that passes through $p$

### 16.3 Remark

For $f \in C^{1}(A, \mathbb{R})$, will prove a theorem of local linear approximation.
Look at the (known) special case $n=1$. Make $A=(\alpha, \beta) \subseteq \mathbb{R}, a \in(\alpha, \beta)$
$f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ differentiable at a.
Approximate formula says $f(x) \approx f(a)+f^{\prime}(a) \cdot(x-a)$ for x close to a.
So have
$\lim _{x \rightarrow a}\left(f(x)-f(a)-f^{\prime}(a) \times(x-a)\right)=0$
But in fact have more!
Have $\lim _{\substack{x \rightarrow a \\ x \neq a}}\left|\frac{f(x)-f(a)-f^{\prime}(a) \times(x-a)}{x-a}\right|=\lim _{\substack{x \rightarrow a \\ x \neq a}}\left|\frac{f(x)-f(a)}{x-a}-f^{\prime}(a)\right|=0$
Call the above (first formula) L-Approx. in 1 variable.

## Proof of Lemma 16.5

Case when $x^{(i)}=y^{(i)}$ trivial and get $0=0$
So assume that $x^{(i)} \neq y^{(i)}$, say $x^{(i)}<y^{(i)}$
Denote $x^{(i)}=\alpha, y^{(i)}=\beta$
Define $\Psi:\left(\alpha, \beta \mid \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\right.$ by $\Psi(s)=f\left(\left(x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(i-1)}, s, x^{(i+1)}, \ldots, x^{(n)}\right)\right) \forall \alpha \leq s \leq \beta$
Note that $\Psi(\alpha)=f(x), \Psi(\beta)=f(y)$ and $\Psi$ is continuous on $(\alpha, \beta$ ।
(Why? Check with sequences using the continuity of $f$ and that $x_{k} \rightarrow_{k \rightarrow \infty} x$ in $(\alpha, \beta$ ) $\Rightarrow\left(x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(i-1)}, x_{k}, x^{(i+1)}, \ldots, x^{(n)}\right) \rightarrow\left(x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(i-1)}, s, x^{(i+1)}, \ldots, x^{(n)}\right)$

Claim
Take s such that $\alpha<s<\beta$ and put $b=\left(x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(i-1)}, s, x^{(i+1)}, \ldots, x^{(n)}\right) \in B(a ; r)$
Then $\Psi$ is differentiable at s , and $\Psi^{\prime}(s)=\left(\partial_{i} f\right)(b)$
Verification of Claim
$\Psi(s)=f(b)$ by definition of $\Psi$
$\Psi(s+h)=f\left(\left(x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(i-1)}, s+h, x^{(i+1)}, \ldots, x^{(n)}\right)\right)=f\left(b+h e_{i}\right)$
So $\frac{\Psi(s+h)-\Psi(s)}{h}=\frac{f\left(b+h e_{i}\right)-f(b)}{h}$
Take limit $h \rightarrow 0(h \neq 0)$. Get claim since the expression on the right hand tends to $\left(\partial_{i} f\right)(b)$

Due to claim, we can apply MVT from Calculus I to $\Psi$.
Gives $\exists s, \alpha<s<\beta$, such that $\frac{\Psi(\beta)-\Psi(\alpha)}{\beta-\alpha}=\Psi^{\prime}(s)$
Convert $\Psi(\alpha)=f(x), \Psi(\beta)=f(y), \alpha=x^{(i)}, \beta=y^{(i)}$
$\Psi^{\prime}(x)=\left(\partial_{i} f\right)(b)$ for $b=\left(x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(i-1)}, s, x^{(i+1)}, \ldots, x^{(n)}\right)$
$\frac{f(y)-f(x)}{y^{(i)}-x^{(i)}}=\left(\partial_{i} f\right)(b)$
and done. QED
Proof of Theorem 16.4
Important Proof
Fix $r>0$ such that $B(a ; r) \subseteq A$. So $f(x)$ makes sense for any $x$ such that $\|x-a\|<r$ Given $\varepsilon>0$. Want to find $0<\delta<r$ such that
$\binom{\|x-a\|<\delta}{x \neq a} \Rightarrow \frac{|f(x)-f(a)-\langle x-a,(\nabla f)(a)\rangle|}{\|x-a\|}<\varepsilon \quad[$ Want $]$
Know
For every $1 \leq i \leq n$ know that $\partial_{i} f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous at $a$, hence $\exists 0<\delta_{i}<r$ such that
$\|x-a\|<\delta_{i} \Rightarrow\left|\left(\partial_{i} f\right)(x)-\left(\partial_{i} f\right)(a)\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{n}}$
Take $\delta=\min \left(\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{n}\right)$. So $0<\delta<r$ and have
$\|x-a\|<\delta \Rightarrow\left|\left(\partial_{i} f\right)(x)-\left(\partial_{i} f\right)(a)\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{n}} \forall 1 \leq i \leq n \quad$ [Know 1]
Will show that $\delta$ works in [Want].
So pick $x=\left(x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \ldots, x^{(n)}\right) \in B(a ; \delta) \backslash\{a\} \Rightarrow 0<\|x-a\|<\delta$
Define $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in B(a ; \delta)$ as follows
$x_{0}=\left(a^{(1)}, a^{(2)}, \ldots, a^{(n)}\right)=a$
$x_{1}=\left(x^{(1)}, a^{(2)}, \ldots, x^{(n)}\right)$
$x_{2}=\left(x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, a^{(3)}, \ldots, a^{(n)}\right)$
$x_{n}=\left(x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \ldots, x^{(n)}\right)=x$
Note that $\left\|x_{i}-a\right\| \leq\|x-a\|<\delta, \forall 0 \leq i \leq n$
Write $f(x)-f(a)=f\left(x_{n}\right)-f\left(x_{0}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(x_{n}\right)-f\left(x_{n-1}\right)$
Observe
$x_{i}=\left(x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(i-1)}, x^{(i)}, a^{(i+1)}, \ldots, a^{(n)}\right)$
$\dot{\boldsymbol{r}} .=\left|r^{(1)} \quad r^{(i-1)} a^{(i)} a^{(i+1)} \quad a^{(n)}\right|$

Given $m \in \mathbb{N}, p \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$
How do we write the equation of a hyperplane $H \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{m}$ that passes through $p$

One Possibility
$H=\left\{p+\alpha_{1} y_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{n-1} y_{n-1} \mid \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{m-1}\right\} \quad$ [Hyp 1]
where $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}$ are linearly independent.
Another Possibility
$H=\left\{q \in \mathbb{R}^{m} \mid(q-p) \perp z\right\} \quad[$ Hyp 2]
with $z \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ called the normal vector
Relation between [Hyp 1] and [Hyp 2]:
$\operatorname{span}(z) \perp \operatorname{span}\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}\right\}$

### 16.9 Remark

$A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ open, $\quad f \in C^{1}(A, \mathbb{R})$
Consider the graph
$\Gamma=\left\{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid x \in A, t=f(x)\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$
Pick $a \in \mathrm{~A}$, look at $p=(a, f(a)) \in \Gamma$
$\lim _{\substack{x \rightarrow a \\ x \neq a}} \frac{|f(x)-f(a)-\langle x-a,(\nabla f)(a)\rangle|}{\|x-a\|}=0$
$\stackrel{x \neq a}{\text { So } f(x)} \approx f(a)+\langle x-a,(\nabla f)(a)\rangle$, for $x \in B(a, \delta)$, small $\delta$
This is a linear function in $x$.
$p^{\prime}=(x, f(x)) \approx(x, f(a)+\langle x-a,(\nabla f)(a)\rangle)=q$
Claim
$q \in H$, where $H$ is a special hyperplane going through $p$
Tangent Plane
$y_{i}=\left(0,0, \ldots, 0,1,0, \ldots, 0,\left(\partial_{i} f\right)(a)\right), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n$
$H=\left\{p+\sum_{i=1}^{n}, \alpha_{i} \dot{y}_{i} \mid \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n} \in \mathbb{R}\right\}$
$w=(-(\nabla f)(a), 1)$
$H=\left\{q \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid(q-p) \perp w\right)$

### 16.10 Proposition

$A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ open, $\quad f \in C^{1}(A, \mathbb{R}), a \in A$
Then for every $v \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ the direction derivative $\left(\partial_{v} f\right)(a)$ exists and $\left(\partial_{v} f\right)(a)=\langle v,(\nabla f)(a)\rangle$

Note that this is a linear function of $v$
$L(v)=\langle v,(\nabla f)(a)\rangle=\rangle_{i=1}^{n} v^{(i)}\left(\partial_{i} f\right)(a)$

### 16.11 Remark

$A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ open, $f \in C^{1}(A, \mathbb{R}), a \in A$
Suppose $(\nabla f)(a) \neq 0$. Look at various unit vectors $u \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{n}},(\|u\|=1)$
Have
$\left(\partial_{u} f\right)(a)=\langle u,(\nabla f)(a)\rangle \leq\|u\| \cdot\|(\nabla f)(a)\|=\|(\nabla f)(a)\|$
Equality holds precisely when $u \|(\nabla f)(a)$
$\therefore u_{0}=\frac{(\nabla f)(a)}{\|(\nabla f)(a)\|}, \quad$ gives
$\left(\partial_{u_{0}} f\right)(a)=(\nabla f)(a)=\max \left\{\left(\partial_{u} f\right)(a) \mid u \in \mathbb{R}^{n},\|u\|=1\right\}$
Informal interpretation:
$f$ is increasing fastest in the direction of the gradient vector.

Observe
$x_{i}=\left(x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(i-1)}, x^{(i)}, a^{(i+1)}, \ldots, a^{(n)}\right)$
$x_{i-1}=\left(x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(i-1)}, a^{(i)}, a^{(i+1)}, \ldots, a^{(n)}\right)$
Can apply MVT in direction $i$, and get $\exists b_{i} \in B(a ; \delta)$ such that
$f\left(x_{i}\right)-f\left(x_{i-1}\right)=\left(x^{(i)}-a^{(i)}\right)\left(\partial_{i} f\right)\left(b_{i}\right)$
So
$\left.f(x)-f(a)=\rangle_{i=1}^{n} f\left(x_{i}\right)-f\left(x_{i-1}\right)=\right\rangle_{i=1}^{n}\left(x^{(i)}-a^{(i)}\right)\left(\partial_{i} f\right)\left(b_{i}\right)=\langle x-a, w\rangle \quad$ [Know 2]
Where $w=\left(\left(\partial_{1} f\right)\left(b_{1}\right),\left(\partial_{2} f\right)\left(b_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(\partial_{n} f\right)\left(b_{n}\right)\right)$
Observe $\left.\|w-(\nabla f)(a)\|^{2}=\right\rangle_{i=1}^{n},\left(\left(\partial_{i} f\right)\left(b_{i}\right)-\left(\partial_{i} f\right)(a)\right)^{2}$
$b_{i} \in B(a ; \delta) \Rightarrow\left|\left(\partial_{i} f\right)(b)-\left(\partial_{i} f\right)(a)\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{n}} \quad$ by |Know 1|
$\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\left(\partial_{i} f\right)\left(b_{i}\right)-\left(\partial_{i} f\right)(a)\right)^{2}<\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{2}=\varepsilon^{2}$
Hence
$\|w-(\nabla f)(a)\|<\varepsilon \quad[$ Know 3]
Now calculate
$|f(x)-f(a)-\langle x-a,(\nabla f)(a)\rangle|$
$={ }_{1}|\langle x-a, w\rangle-\langle x-a,(\nabla f)(a)\rangle|={ }_{2}|\langle x-a, w-(\nabla f)(a)\rangle|$
$\leq_{3}\|x-a\| \cdot\|w-(\nabla f)(a)\|<\|x-a\| \cdot \varepsilon$
1: Know 2
2: Bilinearity of inner product
3: By Cauchy-Schwartz
4: Know 3
In summary, get
$\frac{|f(x)-f(a)-\langle x-a,(\nabla f)(a)\rangle|}{\|x-a\|}<\varepsilon$
QED.
Remark 16.9
Pick $a \in \mathrm{~A}$, look at $p=(a, f(a)) \in \Gamma$
Recall (L-Approx)
$\lim _{x \rightarrow a} \frac{|f(x)-f(a)-\langle x-a,(\nabla f)(a)\rangle|}{\|x-a\|}=0$
So $f(x) \approx f(a)+\langle x-a,(\nabla f)(a)\rangle$, for $x \in B(a, \delta)$, small $\delta$
This is a linear function in $x$.
$p^{\prime}=(x, f(x)) \approx(x, f(a)+\langle x-a,(\nabla f)(a)\rangle)$
Claim
$q \in H$, where $H$ is a special hyperplane going through $p$
Calculate
$p-q=(x, f(a)+\langle x-a,(\nabla f)(a)\rangle)-(a, f(a))=(x-a,\langle x-a,(\nabla f)(a)\rangle)$
Denote $v:=x-a=\left(v^{(1)}, v^{(2)}, \ldots, v^{(n)}\right)$
Then $\left.q-p=\left(v^{(1)}, \ldots, v^{(n)},\right\rangle_{i=1}^{n} v^{(i)} \cdot\left(\partial_{i} f\right)(a)\right)$
$=v^{(1)}\left(1,0, \ldots, 0,\left(\partial_{1} f\right)(a)\right)++v^{(2)}\left(0,1,0, \ldots, 0,\left(\partial_{2} f\right)(a)\right)+\cdots$
$+v^{(n)}\left(0,0, \ldots, 1,\left(\partial_{n} f\right)(a)\right)$
So get $q=p+\sum_{i=1}^{n} v^{(i)} y_{i}$ where $y_{i}=\left(0,0, \ldots, 0,1,0, \ldots, 0,\left(\partial_{i} f\right)(a)\right), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n$
So $q \in H$ where
$H=\left\{p+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \dot{y}_{i} \mid \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n} \in \mathbb{R}\right\}$
What about the normal vector to $\Gamma$ at $p$ ?
Need $w \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{n}+1}$ such that $w \perp \mathrm{y}, \forall 1 \leq i \leq n$
Look for $w$ in the form $\left(w^{(1)}, w^{(2)}, \ldots, w^{(n)}, 1\right)$
So $0=\left\langle y_{i}, w\right\rangle=w^{(i)}+\left(\partial_{i} f\right)(a) \Rightarrow w^{(i)}=-\left(\partial_{i} f\right)(a)$
Conclusion
$w=(-(\nabla f)(a), 1)$
Proof of Proposition 16.10
Will assume $v \neq 0$ (for $v=0$ we know that $\left(\partial_{v} f\right)(a)$ exists and is equal to 0 )
Recall (L-Approx.) for $f$ at $a \in \mathrm{~A}$
$\lim _{\substack{x \rightarrow a \\ x \rightarrow a}} \frac{|f(x)-f(a)-\langle x-a,(\nabla f)(a)\rangle|}{\|x-a\|}=0, \quad$ set $x=a+t v$ where $t \rightarrow 0, t \neq 0$
$\begin{array}{ll}\substack{x \rightarrow a \\ x \neq a} \\ \text { Get } x-a=t v, ~ h e n c e ~\end{array}(x-a,(\nabla f)(a)\rangle=\langle t v,(\nabla f)(a)\rangle=t\langle v,(\nabla f)(a)\rangle$
also, $\|x-a\|=\|t v\|=|t|\|v\|$
So (L-Approx.) becomes
$\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f(\dot{a}+t \dot{v})-f(\dot{a})-t\langle v,(\nabla f)(\dot{a})\rangle|}{|t| \cdot\|v\|}=0$, multiply by $\|v\|$
$\lim _{\substack{t \rightarrow 0 \\ t \neq 0}} \frac{|f(a+t v)-f(a)-t\langle v,(\nabla f)(a)\rangle|}{|t|}=0 \cdot\|v\|=0$
$\lim _{t \rightarrow 0}\left|\frac{f(a+t v)-f(a)}{t}-\langle v,(\nabla f)(a)\rangle\right|=0$
It follows that $\lim _{\substack{t \rightarrow 0 \\ t \neq 0}} \frac{f(a+t v)-f(a)}{t}$ exists and is equal to $\langle v,(\nabla f)(a)\rangle$ QED

## $C^{1}\left(A, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ and the Chain Rule
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### 17.1 Definition

$A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ open, $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}(m \in \mathbb{N})$
For every $x \in$ A write $f(x)=\left(f^{(1)}(x), f^{(2)}(x), \ldots, f^{(m)}(x)\right)$
And in this way we get functions $f^{(i)}: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, 1 \leq i \leq m$ called the components of $f$. Compare to L4 about continuity, Def. 4.5, Prop. 4.6

If $f^{(i)} \in C^{1}(A, \mathbb{R}), \forall 1 \leq i \leq m$ then we say that $f \in C^{1}\left(A, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$

### 17.2 Definition

$A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ open, $f=\left(f^{(1)}, f^{(2)}, \ldots, f^{(m)}\right) \in C^{1}\left(A, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$
For every $a \in A$ the matrix
$(J f)(a)=\left[\begin{array}{c}\left(\nabla f^{(1)}\right)(a) \\ \left(\nabla f^{(2)}\right)(a) \\ \vdots \\ \\ \left(\nabla f^{(m)}\right)(a)\end{array}\right]=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}\left(\partial_{1} f^{(1)}\right)(a) & \ldots & \left(\partial_{n} f^{(1)}\right)(a) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \left(\partial_{1} f^{(m)}\right)(a) & \ldots & \left(\partial_{n} f^{(m)}\right)(a)\end{array}\right|$
is called the Jacobian matrix of $f$ at $a$.

Note
$(J f)(a) \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R})$
$(J f)(a)_{(i, j)}=\left(\partial_{j} f^{(i)}\right)(a)$
$(U f)(a)_{i}=\left(\nabla f^{(i)}\right)(a)$

### 17.3 Remark

1: $m=1$
Have $f \in C^{1}(A, \mathbb{R})$, so $(J f)(\dot{a}) \in M_{1 \times n}(\mathbb{R})$
$(J f)(a)$ is $(\nabla f)(a)$, treated as a row-matrix

## 2: $n=1(m \in \mathbb{N})$

Take $A=I=$ open interval in $\mathbb{R}, f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$
Have $f=\left(f^{(1)}, f^{(2)}, \ldots, f^{(m)}\right)$ with $f^{(i)}: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
Have $f \in C^{1}\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right) \Leftrightarrow\left(f^{(i)} \in C^{1}(I, \mathbb{R}) \forall 1 \leq i \leq m\right)$
Means that $\left(f^{(i)}\right)^{\prime}$ exists and is continuous on $I$
Such $f$ is called a path in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$

For every $a \in I$, the derivative $f^{\prime}(a)=\left(\left(f^{(1)}\right)^{\prime}(a), \ldots,\left(f^{(m)}\right)^{\prime}(a)\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ is called the velocity vector of $f$ at $a$.

Have $(J f)(a)=\left|\begin{array}{c}\left(f^{(1)}\right)(a) \\ \vdots \\ \left(f^{(m)}\right)(a)\end{array}\right| \in M_{m \times 1}(\mathbb{R})$
So $(J f)(a)$ is the velocity vector $f^{\prime}(a)$, treated as a column matrix.

### 17.4 Remark

Can do algebraic operations with $C^{1}$ functions

1. $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ open, $\quad f, g \in C^{1}(A, \mathbb{R})$ Then $f+g, f \cdot g \in C^{1}(A, \mathbb{R})$
with formulas for partial derivatives as in calculus 1
2. $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ open, $\quad f, g \in C^{1}\left(A, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right), \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ Form new function:
$h: \alpha f+\beta g, \quad h: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$
$h(x)=\alpha f(x)+\beta g(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{m}, \quad \forall x \in A$
For $1 \leq i \leq m$ have $h^{(i)}+\alpha f^{(i)}+\beta g^{(i)} \in C^{1}(A, \mathbb{R}) \Rightarrow h \in C^{1}\left(A, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$

Moreover, for $a \in \mathrm{~A}$ and $1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n$ have
$(J h)(a)_{(i, j)}=\left(\partial_{j} h^{(i)}\right)(a)=\alpha\left(\partial_{j} f^{(i)}\right)(a)+\beta\left(\partial_{j} g^{(i)}\right)(a)$
$=\alpha(J f)(a)_{(i, j)}+\beta(J g)(a)_{(i, j)}$
$\therefore(J h)(a)=\alpha(J f)(a)+\beta(J g)(a)$
Linearity of Jacobian
$(J(\alpha f+\beta g))(a)=\alpha(J f)(a)+\beta(J g)(a) \quad(L-J)$, Linearity of Jacobian

## Moral

$C^{1}\left(A, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ is a vector space of functions, and $J f$ is linear.

### 17.5 Theorem (Chain Rule)

$m, n, p \in \mathbb{N}, \quad A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}, \quad B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{m}$ open sets
$f \in C^{1}\left(A, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ such that $f(a) \in B \forall a \in A, \quad g=C^{1}\left(B, \mathbb{R}^{p}\right)$

Consider the composed function
$h: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{p}, \quad h=g \circ f$
Then $h \in C^{1}\left(A, \mathbb{R}^{p}\right)$ and for every $a \in \mathrm{~A}$ have
$(J h)(a)=(J g)(f(a)) \times(J f)(a) \quad(M-J)$, Multiplicativity of Jacobian

## Aside

## Th

## Examples for Remark 17.4

$f \cdot g$ have
$\partial_{j}(f g)=\left(\partial_{j} f\right) g+g\left(\partial_{j} g\right), \quad 1 \leq j \leq n$
Leibnitz rule, applied to partial functions for $f g$ in the $j^{\text {th }}$ direction.
More general than $f+g$ can do linear combinations $\alpha f+\beta g, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ Have $\alpha f+\beta g \in C^{1}(A, \mathbb{R})$ and
$\partial_{j}(\alpha f+\beta g)=\alpha\left(\partial_{j} f\right)+\beta\left(\partial_{j} f\right), \quad 1 \leq j \leq n$
Linearity of derivative from Calc 1 applied to partial functions in direction $j$

### 17.9 Proof of 2, by assuming 3

Have $f \in C^{1}\left(A, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ with $f(x) \in B, \forall x \in A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$
Have $u \in C^{1}(B, \mathbb{R}), v=u \circ f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
Fix $a \in \mathrm{~A}, j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Want to verify that
$\lim _{\substack{t \rightarrow 0 \\ t \neq 0}} \frac{v\left(a+t e_{j}\right)-v(a)}{t}$ exists and is equal to $\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\partial_{i} u\right)(b) \cdot\left(\partial_{j} f^{(i)}\right)(a)$
Pick $r>0$ such that $B(a ; r) \subseteq A$
Define $\varphi:(-r, r) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \varphi(t)=v\left(a+t e_{j}\right)$
Have $\frac{v\left(a+t e_{j}\right)-v(a)}{t}=\frac{\varphi(t)-\varphi(0)}{t}$
So need that $\varphi^{\prime}(0)$ exists and is given by the right formula.
Consider the path $\gamma:(-r, r) \rightarrow B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{m}, \gamma(t)=f\left(a+t e_{j}\right),-r<t<r$
$\varphi(t)=v\left(a+t e_{j}\right)=u\left(f\left(a+t e_{j}\right)\right)=u(\gamma(t))$
So $\varphi(t)=u(\gamma(t)),-r<t<r$
Formula from 3 applies, gives
$\varphi^{\prime}(0)=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\partial_{i} u\right)(\gamma(0)) \cdot\left(\gamma^{(t)}\right)(0)=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\partial_{i} u\right)(b) \cdot\left(\partial_{j} f^{(i)}\right)(a)$
QED
Left to prove special case of Chain rule for $n=p=1$
Proof of Lemma 17.10
$\frac{\left\|\gamma\left(t_{0}+s\right)-(b+s v)\right\|}{|s|}=\left\|\frac{1}{s}(\gamma(t+s)-b-s v)\right\|$
$=\left\|\frac{1}{s}\left(\gamma\left(t_{0}+s\right)-\gamma\left(t_{0}\right)\right)-v\right\|$
What is component $i$ of this vector? It is:
$\frac{1}{s}\left(\gamma^{(i)}\left(t_{0}+s\right)-\gamma^{(i)}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)-\left(\gamma^{(i)}\right)^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)$
So have
$\frac{\left\|\gamma\left(t_{0}+s\right)-(b+s v)\right\|}{|s|}=\left\|\frac{1}{s}\left(\gamma\left(t_{0}+s\right)-\gamma\left(t_{0}\right)\right)-v\right\|$
$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\frac{\gamma^{(i)}\left(t_{0}+s\right)-\gamma^{(i)}\left(t_{0}\right)}{s}-\left(\gamma^{(i)}\right)^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)\right| \rightarrow 0$ by definition of $\left(\gamma^{(i)}\right)^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)$
■

## Proof of Proposition 17.11

Fix $t_{0} \in I$ for which we verify the claim. Denote $b:=\gamma\left(t_{0}\right), v:=\gamma^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)$ Must prove that $h$ is differentiable at $t_{0}$ with
$h^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=\langle(\nabla g)(b), v\rangle=\left(\partial_{v} g\right)(b)$
So what we want is $\lim _{\substack{s \rightarrow 0 \\ s \neq 0}} \frac{h\left(t_{0}+s\right)-h\left(t_{0}\right)}{s}=\left(\partial_{v} g\right)(b) \quad$ [Want]

## Calculate

$\frac{h\left(t_{0}+s\right)-h\left(t_{0}\right)}{s}=\frac{g\left(\gamma\left(t_{0}+s\right)\right)-g\left(\gamma\left(t_{0}\right)\right)}{s}=\frac{g\left(\gamma\left(t_{0}+s\right)\right)-g(b)}{s}$
$=\frac{g\left(\gamma\left(t_{0}+s\right)\right)-g(b+s v)}{s}+\frac{g(b+s v)-g(b)}{s}$
We know that $\lim _{\substack{s \rightarrow 0 \\ s \neq 0}} \frac{g(b+s v)-g(b)}{s}=\left(\partial_{v} g\right)(b)$
So [Want] will follow if we prove
$\left.\lim _{s \rightarrow 0}\left|\frac{g\left(\gamma\left(t_{0}+s\right)\right)-g(b+s v)}{s}\right|=0 \quad \right\rvert\,$ Want $^{\prime} \mid$
$\stackrel{s \neq 0}{T o}$ prove [Want'] we will use a Lipschitz condition for g.
Fix $r>0$ such that $B(b ; r) \subseteq B$. Use problem 4 in homework 8 for the
compact convex set $K=B\left(b ; \frac{r}{2}\right)$ to get $c>0$ such that
$|g(x)-g(y)\|\leq c\| x-y \|, \quad \forall x, y \in K \quad|$ Lip $\mid$
$\gamma$ is continuous at $t_{0}$ hence can find $l>0$ such that $\left(t_{0}-l, t_{0}+l\right) \subseteq I$ and such that $t \in\left(t_{0}-l, t_{0}+l\right) \Rightarrow\left\|\gamma(t)-\gamma\left(t_{0}\right)\right\|<\frac{r}{2}$
So for $|s|<l$, have $\left\|\gamma\left(t_{0}+s\right)-b\right\|<\frac{r}{2} \Rightarrow \gamma\left(t_{0}+s\right) \in K$
For $|s|<\frac{1}{1+\|v\|} \times \frac{r}{2}$ we also have that

Aside
The chain rule from calc 1 is the special case of this where $m=n=p=1$

### 17.6 Remark

Equation (M-J) is usually written in terms of entries:
For $1 \leq k \leq p, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n$, have
$\left.(J h)(a)_{(i, j)}=\right\rangle(J g)(b)_{(k, i)} \times(J f)(a)_{(i, j)}$
Write $f=\left(\begin{array}{c}i=1 \\ f^{(1)}, \ldots,\end{array} f^{(m)}\right), g=\left(g^{(1)}, \ldots, g^{(p)}\right), h=\left(h^{(1)}, \ldots, h^{(p)}\right)$
$\left(\partial_{j} h^{(k)}\right)(a)=>\left(\partial_{i} g^{(k)}\right)(b) \times\left(\partial_{j} f^{(i)}\right)(a)$
Denote $u:=g^{(k)}, \quad v:=h^{(k)}, \quad$ What is the relation between u and v ?
$h(x)=g(f(x))=\left(g^{(1)}(f(x)), \ldots, g^{(p)}(f(x))\right)$
Take component $k \Rightarrow h^{(k)}(x)=g^{(k)}(f(x)) \Rightarrow v(x)=u(f(x))$
The modified (M-J) says

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\partial_{j} v\right)(a)=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\partial_{i} u\right)(b) \times\left(\partial_{2} f^{(i)}\right)(a) \\
\text { for } b=f(a) \text { and } v(x)=u(f(x)), \quad x \in A
\end{gathered} \quad(C-R) \text { Chain Rule, } \mathrm{p}=1
$$

Notation
To make it more suggestive, people write
$\left(\partial_{i} v\right)(a) \equiv \frac{\partial v}{\partial x^{(i)}}(a), \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y^{(i)}}(b) \equiv\left(\partial_{i} u\right)(b)$
$\left.\frac{\partial v}{\partial x^{(j)}}(a)=\right\rangle_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y^{(i)}}(b) \times \frac{\partial f^{(i)}}{\partial x^{(j)}}(a)$
Summarized
$\frac{\partial v}{\partial x^{(j)}}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y^{(i)}} \cdot \frac{\partial y^{(i)}}{\partial x^{(j)}}$
Imprecise in two ways: $\frac{\partial y^{(i)}}{\partial x^{(i)}}$ should be $\frac{\partial f^{(i)}}{\partial x^{(i)}}$, and does not specify to what points the derivatives should be applied.

### 17.7 Remark

Special case when $n=p=1$.
Take $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ open interval
$\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ a $C^{1}$-path
Let $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{m}$ open such that $\gamma(t) \in B, \forall t \in I$.
Let $g$ be in $C^{1}(B, \mathbb{R})$
Consider composed function $h=g \circ \gamma \in C^{1}(I, \mathbb{R})$
$h^{\prime}(t)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \partial_{i} g(\gamma(t)) \times\left(\gamma^{(i)}\right)^{\prime}(t) \quad(C-R)$ Chain rule $p=n=1$
$h^{\prime}(t)=\left\langle(\nabla g)(\gamma(t)), \gamma^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle$

### 17.8 Remark

Had 3 formulas for the chain rule:

1. $(M-J)$ In Theorem 17.5
2. $(C-R)$ for $p=1$ in Remark 17.6
3. $(C-R)$ for $n=p=1$ in Remark 17.7

Clearly $1 \Rightarrow 2 \Rightarrow 3$ because 2 and 3 are special cases.
Conversely, $2 \Rightarrow 1$. Saw this in Remark 17.6 - just have to fix a value $k \in\{1, \ldots, p\}$
with $u=g^{(k)}, v=h^{(k)}$
Observe that $3 \Rightarrow 2$ (Proof 17.9)

### 17.10 Lemma

$I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ open interval, $\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ a $C^{1}$-path
Fix $t_{0} \in I$, denote $b:=\gamma\left(t_{0}\right), \gamma^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right):=v$
Then $\lim _{\substack{s \rightarrow 0 \\ s \neq 0}} \frac{\left\|\gamma\left(t_{0}+s\right)-(b+s v)\right\|}{|s|}=0$
This is an approximation lemma: $\gamma\left(t_{0}+s\right) \approx \gamma\left(t_{0}\right)+s \gamma^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)$
17.11 Proposition ("CR for $n=p=1$ ")
$I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ open interval, $\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ a $C^{1}$ path.
$B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{m}$ open such that $\gamma(t) \in B, \forall t \in I$
Let $g$ be a function on $C^{1}(B, \mathbb{R})$ and let $h=g \circ \gamma$ so $h: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, h(t)=g(\gamma(t)), t \in I$
Then $h \in C^{1}(I, \mathbb{R})$ and
$\left.h^{\prime}(t)=\right\rangle_{i=1}^{m}\left(\partial_{i} g\right)(\gamma(t)) \cdot\left(\gamma^{(i)}\right)^{\prime}(t)=\left\langle(\nabla g)(\gamma(t)), \gamma^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle$
such that $t \in\left(t_{0}-l, t_{0}+l\right) \Rightarrow\left\|\gamma(t)-\gamma\left(t_{0}\right)\right\|<\frac{1}{2}$
So for $|s|<l$, have $\left\|\gamma\left(t_{0}+s\right)-b\right\|<\frac{r}{2} \Rightarrow \gamma\left(t_{0}+s\right) \in K$
For $|s|<\frac{1}{1+\|v\|} \times \frac{r}{2}$ we also have that
$\|(b+s v)-b\|=|s|\|v\|<\frac{\|v\|}{1+\|v\|} \times \frac{r}{2}<\frac{r}{2} \Rightarrow b+s v \in \mathrm{~K}$
So for $|s|<\min \left(l, \frac{r}{2(1+\|v\|)}\right)$ [Lip] will apply to $x=\gamma\left(t_{0}+s\right), y=b+s v$
$\frac{\left|g\left(\gamma\left(t_{0}+s\right)\right)-g(b+s v)\right|}{|s|} \leq \frac{c\left\|\gamma\left(t_{0}+s\right)-(b+s v)\right\|}{|s|}$
But lemma 17.10 says that
$\frac{\left|\gamma\left(t_{0}+s\right)-(b+s v)\right|}{|s|} \rightarrow 0$ So by squeeze we get
$\frac{\left\|g\left(\gamma\left(t_{0}+s\right)\right)-g(b+s v)\right\|}{|s|} \rightarrow{ }_{s \rightarrow 0} 0$ Which is [Want']
The fact that $h^{\prime}: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous comes from immediately from the formula
$h^{\prime}(t)=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\partial_{i} g\right)(\gamma(t)) \cdot\left(\gamma^{(i)}\right)^{\prime}(t)$
because $\left(\partial_{i} g\right), \gamma(t),\left(\gamma^{(i)}\right)^{\prime}$ are all continuous.
QED

## Special case when $m=n$
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If $m=n$ then the Jacobian matrix is a square matrix. Can talk about determinant and about invertibility.

## Recall

For $M \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ have $M$ invertible $\Leftrightarrow \exists X \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $M X=I_{n}=X M$
Various other descriptions $M$ invertible $\Leftrightarrow \operatorname{ker} N=\emptyset \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{det} M \neq 0$

### 18.1 Remark

For every $n \geq 1$, the formula for $n \times n$ determinant is a polynomial expression in the entries of the matrix. That is, $\exists$ polynomial $P_{n}$ of $n^{2}$ indeterminates such that
$M=\left|t_{i j}\right|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R}) \Rightarrow \operatorname{det}(M)=P_{n}\left(t_{11}, t_{12}, \ldots, t_{n n}\right)$
Therefore, $P_{n}$ is a continuous function on $\mathbb{R}^{n^{2}}$

### 18.2 Lemma

Small Perturbation of Invertible Matrices
Let $M=\left|\alpha_{i j}\right|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ be an invertible matrix. $\exists \lambda>0$ with the following property:
If $N=\left|\beta_{i j}\right|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ is such that $\left|\alpha_{i j}-\beta_{i j}\right|<\lambda, \forall 1 \leq i, j \leq n$ then N is invertible as well.

### 18.3 Proposition

$A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ open, $f \in C^{1}\left(A, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right), a \in A$ such that $(f f)(a)$ is invertible. Then $\exists r>0$ s.t. $B(a ; r) \subseteq A$ and s.t. $f$ is one-to-one and injective on $B(a ; r)$.

### 18.4 Definition

$U, V \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ open sets
A $C^{1}$-diffeomorphism between $U$ and $V$ is a bijection $f: U \rightarrow V$ such that both f and its inverse $g: V \rightarrow U$ are $C^{1}$-functions.

### 18.5 Theorem

$A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ open, $f \in C^{1}\left(A, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right), a \in A$ s.t. $(J f)(a)$ is an invertible $n \times n$ matrix. Denote $f(a)=b$.
Then $\exists U, V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ open sets such that
i) $a \in U \subseteq A, \quad b \in V$
ii) $f$ maps $U$ onto $V$ bijectively
iii) The function $g: V \rightarrow U$ which inverts f is a $C^{1}$-function and has $(J g)(b)=(U f)(a))^{-1}$
In short, we get a $C^{1}$-diffeomorphism produced by $f$ on an open neighbourhood of $a$

### 18.6 Remark

Discussion around the steps in proof of Theorem 18.5
a) One can find $r>0$ such that $U=B(a ; r) \subseteq A$ and such that $f$ is one-to-one on $U$. So we can put $V:=f(U)=\{f(x) \mid x \in U\}$ and have that $f$ gives a bijection from $U$ to $V$ with an inverse $g: V \rightarrow U$.
b) It can be proved that by reducing $r$ if necessary, one can arrange that $V$ is open, and such that g is $C^{1}$-function.
c) For $g: V \rightarrow U$ as in b, one proves that $(J g)(b)=((J f)(a))^{-1}$

Determinant Example
$\operatorname{det}\left(\left.\begin{array}{ll}t_{11} & t_{12} \\ t_{21} & t_{22}\end{array} \right\rvert\,\right)=P_{2}\left(t_{11}, t_{12}, t_{21}, t_{22}\right)=t_{11} t_{22}-t_{12} t_{21}$
Proof of Lemma 18.2
Denote $|\operatorname{det}(M)|=\varepsilon>0$
So $\left|P_{n}\left(\alpha_{11}, \ldots, \alpha_{n n}\right)\right|=|\operatorname{det}(M)|=\varepsilon$ where $P_{n}$ is as in Remark 18.1
Write continuity of $P_{n}$ at $\left(\alpha_{11}, \ldots, \alpha_{n n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n^{2}}$ for $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \exists \delta>0$ s.t.
$\left\|\left(\beta_{11}, \ldots, \beta_{n n}\right)-\left(\alpha_{11}, \ldots, \alpha_{n n}\right)\right\|<\delta \Rightarrow\left|P_{n}\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n n}\right)-P_{n}\left(\alpha_{11}, \ldots, \alpha_{n n}\right)\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$
Set $\lambda=\frac{\delta}{n}$. Will show that this $\lambda$ satisfies the Lemma.
Pick a matrix $N=\left|\beta_{i j}\right|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ such that $\left|\alpha_{i j}-\beta_{i j}\right|<\lambda \forall 1 \leq i, j \leq n$
Will show that $N$ is invertible.
Observe first that
$\left\|\left(\beta_{11}, \ldots, \beta_{n n}\right)-\left(\alpha_{11}, \ldots, \alpha_{n n}\right)\right\|=\sqrt{\sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(\beta_{i j}-\alpha_{i j}\right)^{2}} \leq \sqrt{\sum_{i, j,=1}^{n} \lambda^{2}}=n \lambda=\delta$
$\Rightarrow\left|P_{n}\left(\beta_{11}, \ldots, \beta_{n n}\right)-P_{n}\left(\alpha_{11}, \ldots, \alpha_{n n}\right)\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \Rightarrow|\operatorname{det}(N)-\operatorname{det}(M)|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \Rightarrow$
$-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}<\operatorname{det}(N)-\varepsilon<\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \Rightarrow \frac{\varepsilon}{2}<\operatorname{det}(N)<\frac{3 \varepsilon}{2} \Rightarrow \operatorname{det}(N) \neq 0$
So N is invertible
Proof of Proposition 18.3
Denote $(J f)(a)=M=\left|\alpha_{i j}\right|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$
So $\alpha_{i, j}=\left(\partial_{j} f^{(i)}\right)(a) \forall 1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Lemma 18.2 says $\exists \lambda>0$ such that if $N=\left|\beta_{i}, j\right|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ has $\left|\alpha_{i j}-\beta_{i j}\right|<\lambda \forall 1 \leq i, j \leq n$ then $N$ is invertible.

Due to continuity of partial derivatives $\partial_{j} f^{(i)}$ at $a$ we can find $r>0$ such that $B(a ; r) \subseteq A$ and such that $\left|\left(\partial_{j} f^{(i)}\right)(b)-\left(\partial_{j} f^{(i)}\right)(a)\right|<\lambda, \forall 1 \leq i, j \leq n, \forall b \in B(a ; r)$ We will prove that this $r$ satisfies the claim.

Fix $x \neq \mathrm{y}$ in $B(a ; r)$. Must prove that $f(x) \neq f(y)$. Assume by contradiction that $f(x)=f(y)$, that is $f^{(i)}(x)=f^{(i)}(y) \forall 1 \leq i \leq n$
For every $1 \leq i \leq n$, we apply $M V T$ in direction $v$ to the function $f^{(i)} \in C^{1}(A, \mathbb{R})$ where $v=y-x$.
Get a point $b \in C o(x, y)$ such that $0=f^{(i)}(y)-f^{(i)}(x)=\left\langle\left(\nabla f^{(i)}\right)\left(b_{i}\right), v\right\rangle$
Consider the matrix $N=\left|\beta_{i j}\right|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}=\left|\begin{array}{c}\left(\nabla f^{(1)}\right)\left(b_{1}\right) \\ \vdots \\ \left(\nabla f^{(n)}\right)\left(b_{n}\right)\end{array}\right|$
With $\beta_{i j}=\left(\partial_{j} f^{(i)}\right)(b) \forall 1 \leq i, j \leq n$ get $\left|\left(\partial_{j} f^{(i)}\right)\left(b_{i}\right)-\left(\partial_{j} f^{(i)}\right)(a)\right|<\lambda$
Therefore N is invertible.
But $\left\langle\left(\nabla f^{(i)}\right)\left(b_{i}\right), v\right\rangle=0 \forall 1 \leq i \leq n \Rightarrow v \in \operatorname{ker} N$ so $N$ is not invertible. Contradiction QED
18.6 Remark Proof
a) Was done in Prop 18.3
b) We will accept (part with $V$ being open is itself a theorem called the "open mapping theorem")
c) Easy, do it now. Holds in fact for any $C^{1}$-diffeomorphism.

Consider composed function $h: U \rightarrow U, h=g \circ f$
$h(x)=g(f(x)), \quad \forall x \in U$
Chain rule says $(J h)(a)=(J g)(b) \cdot(J f)(a)$
But on the other hand have, $h(x)=x \forall x \in U$
So $h(x)=\left(h^{(1)}(x), \ldots, h^{(n)}(x)\right)=\left(x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(n)}\right)$
Hence $\left(\partial_{j} h^{(i)}\right)(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}0 \text { if } j \neq i \\ 1 \text { if } j=i\end{array} \Rightarrow(J h)(a)=I_{n}\right.$
So chain rule gives $I_{n}=(J g)(b) \times(J f)(a) \Rightarrow(J g)(b)=((J f)(a))^{-1}$

## Change of Variables
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18.7 Definition
$A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}, f \in C^{1}\left(A, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right), a \in A$
The Jacobian of $f$ at $a$ is defined as
$|J I(a):=| \operatorname{det}(U f)(a)) \mid$ where $(J f)(a) \in M_{n \times n}$
is the Jacobian matrix of $f$ at $a$
18.8 Remark
$A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ open, $f \in C^{1}\left(A, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$
Have new function $\mid J_{f}: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
This is continuous.
If $a_{k} \rightarrow_{k \rightarrow \infty} a$ in $A$ then $\left(\partial_{j} f^{(i)}\right)\left(a_{k}\right) \rightarrow_{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(\partial_{j} f^{(i)}\right)(a)$
$\left.\left.\Rightarrow(J f)\left(a_{k}\right) \rightarrow(J f)(a) \Rightarrow \operatorname{det}(U f)\left(a_{k}\right)\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{det}(U f)(a)\right)$
Because det is polynomial hence continuous
$\therefore|J|_{f}\left(a_{k}\right) \rightarrow|J|_{f}(a)$ so $|J|_{f}$ respects sequences $\Rightarrow$ continuous.
18.9 Theorem (Change of Variable)
$A, B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ open and bounded $T: A \rightarrow B$ a $C^{1}$-diffeomorphism.
Suppose in addition that $\mid J_{f}$ is bounded on $A$
$\left(\exists c>0\right.$ s.t. $\left.\mid J_{T}(x)<c, \forall x \in A\right)$
Let $g \in \operatorname{Int}_{b}(B, \mathbb{R})$. Put $f=g \circ T$ so $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f(x)=g(T(x)), x \in A$
Then $f \in \operatorname{Int} t_{b}(A, \mathbb{R})$ and $\left.\right|_{B} g(y) d y=\left.\right|_{A} f(x) \cdot|J|_{T}(x) d x, \quad\lfloor C-V\rfloor$
18.10 Remark (how to remember [C-V]

Do the substitution $y=T(x),(y \in B, x \in A)$

$$
d y=\mid J_{T}(x) d x
$$

$\left.\right|_{B} g(y) d y=\left.\right|_{A} g(T(x))\left|J_{T} d x=\left.\right|_{A}\right| J_{T} f(x) d x$
This is analogous to substitution in one variable
$y=T(x), \quad d y=T^{\prime}(x) d x$
18.12 Remark

Why woes the formula ( $C-V$ ) hold?
$\mathrm{JI}_{T}$ keeps track of how volumes are distorted by T
Take again the case of $T: R \rightarrow A$ from example 18.11
Take a division $R=\prod_{i=1}^{k} P_{i}, \quad A=\prod_{i=1}^{k} Q_{i}, \quad Q_{i}=T\left(P_{i}\right)$
Then $\left.\left.\right|_{R} f \approx\right\rangle_{i=1} \sup _{P_{i}}(f) \cdot \operatorname{vol}\left(P_{i}\right)$
$\left.\left.\right|_{A} g \approx\right\rangle_{i=1} \sup _{Q_{i}}(g) \cdot \operatorname{vol}\left(Q_{i}\right)$
$\forall 1 \leq i \leq k$ we have $\sup _{P_{i}}(f)=\sup _{P_{i}} g(T(x))=\sup _{Q_{i}}(g)$
But not true that $\left.\begin{array}{c}P_{i} \\ \operatorname{vol}\left(Q_{i}\right)\end{array}\right)=\begin{gathered}P_{i} \\ \operatorname{vol}\left(P_{i}\right)\end{gathered}$
In fact have $\frac{\operatorname{vol}\left(Q_{i}\right)}{\operatorname{vol}\left(P_{i}\right)} \approx$ value of $\mathrm{J}_{T}$ on $P_{i}$
Since $\left.I J\right|_{T}$ is continuous, it is approximately constant for small $P_{i}$
On this specific example
$\operatorname{vol}\left(P_{i}\right)=\left(r^{\prime}-r\right)\left(\theta^{\prime}-\theta\right)$
$\operatorname{vol}\left(R_{i}\right)=\frac{r^{\prime 2}-r^{2}}{2}\left(\theta^{\prime}-\theta\right) \Rightarrow \frac{\operatorname{vol}\left(Q_{i}\right)}{\operatorname{vol}\left(P_{i}\right)}=\frac{r+r^{\prime}}{2} \approx r$

### 18.11 Example

Take
$R=\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right) \times(0,2 \pi), A=\left\{(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \mid r_{1}<\sqrt{s^{2}+t^{2}}<r_{2}\right\} \backslash\left\{(s, 0) \mid r_{1}<s<r_{2}\right\}$ $T((r, \theta))=(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta)=\left(T^{(1)}(r, \theta), T^{(2)}(r, \theta)\right)$
$\left(\nabla T^{(1)}\right)(r, \theta)=(\cos \theta,-r \sin \theta)$
$\left(\nabla T^{(2)}\right)(r, \theta)=(\sin \theta, r \cos \theta)$
$(J T)(r, \theta)=\left|\begin{array}{cc}\cos \theta & -r \sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & r \cos \theta\end{array}\right|$
$J_{T}(r, \theta)=r \cos ^{2} \theta+r \sin ^{2} \theta=r$
Formula (C-V) says if $g \in \operatorname{Int}_{B}(A, \mathbb{R})$ then $f=g \circ T \in \operatorname{Int} t_{B}(R, \mathbb{R})$ with

$$
\left.\right|_{A} g((s, t)) d(s, t)=\left.\right|_{R} f((r, \theta)) \cdot r \cdot d(r, \theta)=\left.\left.\right|_{r_{1}} ^{r_{2}}\right|_{0} ^{\pi} g(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta) r d(r, \theta)
$$

