

ALGEBRA NOTE 5

JOHNEW ZHANG

1. POLYNOMIALS

If \mathbb{R} is a commutative ring, then let $\mathbb{R}[x]$ be the set of polynomials with coefficients in \mathbb{R} .

$$f(x) = a_d x^d + a_{d-1} x^{d-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0 = \sum_{i=0}^d a_i x^i, \quad a_i \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Adding and multiplying polynomials.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^d a_i x^i + \sum_{i=0}^d b_i x^i &= \sum_{i=0}^d (a_i + b_i) x^i. \\ \sum_{i=0}^d a_i x^i \sum_{i=0}^d b_i x^i &= \sum (\sum_{j+k=i} a_j b_k) x^i. \end{aligned}$$

Check that $\mathbb{R}[x]$ is also a commutative ring, with 0 and 1, being the constant polynomials 0 and 1.

The degree of a polynomial $\sum_{i=0}^m a_i x^i$ is the largest d such that $a_d \neq 0$.

The zero polynomial has degree $-\infty$.

If \mathbb{F} is a field, and $f(x), g(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$,

then $\deg(fg) = \deg(f) + \deg(g)$.

Example : of a ring, where that doesn't work.

$$\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{Z}_6,$$

$$f(x) = 3x^2 + 1, g(x) = 2x^5 + x.$$

$$f(x)g(x) = (3x^2 + 1)(2x^5 + x) = 2x^5 + 3x^3 + x.$$

Therefore the degree is 5.

Why does it work in a field?

$$(a_j x^d + (\text{lower degree terms}))(b_e x^e + (\text{lower terms})) = a_j b_e x^{d+e} + \text{lower degree terms}.$$

If the coefficients are in a field \mathbb{F} , and $a_j \neq 0$, $b_e \neq 0$, then $a_j b_e \neq 0$, also. (integral domain)

Application:

Let \mathbb{F} be a field, and $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ is a unit. Then $f(x)$ is constant.

Proof. If $g(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ with $fg = 1$, then $\deg(f) + \deg(g) = \deg(fg) = 0$.

$f \neq 0, g \neq 0$, so $\deg(f), \deg(g) \geq 0$,

So $\deg(f) + \deg(g) = 0$.

$f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i x^i$, $\deg(f)$ is the largest d such that $a_d \neq 0$.

So $f(x) = a_0 \in \mathbb{F}, g(x) = b_0 \in \mathbb{F}$. □

AKA: If \mathbb{F} is a field, then algebra in $\mathbb{F}[x]$ is a lot like algebra in \mathbb{Z} .
We really need \mathbb{F} to be a field, or things are not like \mathbb{Z} .

Example: In \mathbb{Z} , if $a^2 = 1$, then $a = \pm 1$.

If $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_4[x]$, then $(2f(x) + 1)^2 = 4f(x)^2 + 4f(x) + 1 = 1$.

Lemma 1. *Let \mathbb{F} is a field, and $f(x), g(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ (non-zero). Then there are polynomials $q(x)$ and $r(x)$,*

such that, $g(x) = q(x)f(x) + r(x)$ and $\deg(r) < \deg(f)$. Also, $q(x)$ and $r(x)$ are unique.

Proof. We can assume that $\deg(g) \leq \deg(f)$. Otherwise, $q = 0, r = g$ works.

We are going to proceed by induction on the degree of g .

If $\deg(g) = 0$, then either $\deg(g) < \deg(f)$ (done!) or else.

$f(x)$ and $g(x)$ are both constant.

If $f(x) = a_0, g(x) = b_0$, then $g(x) = \frac{b_0}{a_0}f(x) + 0$

Induction step: Assume that for any $g_2(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ with $\deg(g_2) < \deg(g)$

we can write

$$g_2(x) = q_2(x)f(x) + r_2(x), \deg(r_2) < \deg(f)$$

Write $g(x) = a_d x^d + \dots$ other terms of lower degree.

And $f(x) = b_e x^e + \dots$ lower order terms. $b_e \neq 0$.

$$\text{Let } g_2(x) = g(x) - \frac{a_d}{b_e} f(x)x^{d-e}.$$

Write out the first term

$$g_2(x) = (a_d x^d + \dots) - \frac{a_d}{b_e} f(x)x^{d-e}(b_e x^e + \dots) = (a_d x^d + \dots) - (a_d x^d + \dots) = 0 \cdot x^d + \dots =$$

something of degree less than $d = \deg(g)$.

$$\deg(g_2) < \deg(g).$$

By the induction hypothesis, we can write $g_2(x) = q_2(x)f(x) + r(x)$ with $q_2, r \in \mathbb{F}[x]$, $\deg(r) < \deg(f)$.

$$\text{Since } g(x) = g_2(x) + \frac{a_d}{b_e} f(x)x^{d-e} f(x),$$

we get

$$g(x) = \frac{a_d}{b_e} x^{d-e} f(x) + q_2(x)f(x) + r(x) = \left(\frac{a_d}{b_e} f(x)x^{d-e} + q_2(x)\right)f(x) + r(x). \text{ with } \deg(r) < \deg(f),$$

So take

$$q(x) = \frac{a_d}{b_e} f(x)x^{d-e} + q_2(x).$$

By induction, we can do this for all polynomials.

Secondly, for the uniqueness,

$$\text{Suppose that } g(x) = q_1(x)f(x) + r_1(x) \text{ and } g(x) = q_2(x)f(x) + r_2(x)$$

with $\deg(r_1), \deg(r_2) < \deg(f)$.

$$\text{Then } 0 = (q_1(x)f(x) + r_1(x)) - (q_2(x)f(x) + r_2(x))$$

$$\text{So } r_1 - r_2 = f(q_2 - q_1).$$

Since \mathbb{F} is a field,

$$\deg(r_1 - r_2) = \deg(f) + \deg(q_2 - q_1)$$

If $q_2 - q_1 \neq 0$, then $\deg(r_1 - r_2) \geq \deg(f)$

But $\deg(r_1), \deg(r_2) < \deg(f)$.

So $\deg(r_1 - r_2) < \deg(f)$

Useful fact $\deg(f + g) \leq \max\{\deg(f), \deg(g)\}$

So we have a contradiction.

$\therefore, q_1 = q_2, r_1 = r_2$.

□

The proof shows how to do the division algorithm.

Example: Long divide $x^2 + 1$ into $x^3 - 2x^2 + 1$ and find the quotient $q(x)$ and remainder $r(x)$.

$$x^3 - 2x^2 + 1 = x(x^2 + 1) + (-2x^2 - x + 1)$$

$$-2x^2 - x + 1 = 2(x^2 + 1) + (-x + 3).$$

So the remainder is $(-x + 3)$.

$$\text{Therefore } x^3 - 2x^2 + 1 = (x^2 + 1)(x - 2) + (-x + 3).$$

Proposition 1. *If \mathbb{F} is a field, $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$, and $c \in \mathbb{F}$, then $f(c) = 0$, if and only if $(x - c) \mid f(x)$.*

Proof. By the division algorithm, we can write $f(x) = q(x)(x - c) + r(x)$ where $\deg(r(x)) < \deg(x - c) = 1$.

Since $\deg(r) < 1$, then $r \in \mathbb{F}$ is a constant.

$$\text{So } f(c) = q(c)(c - c) + r = r.$$

In fact, $f(x) = q(x)(x - c) + f(c)$

If $f(c) = 0$, then $f(x) = q(x)(x - c)$, so $x - c \mid f(x)$.

On the other hand, if $f(x) = (x - c)h(x)$,

$$\text{then } f(c) = (c - c)h(c) = 0$$

□

Definition 1. *For a commutative ring \mathbb{R} , we say that a divides b , (for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$) if and only if $b = ac$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$, $a \mid b$.*

If \mathbb{F} is a field, and $f(x), g(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$, then $f(x) \mid g(x)$ means $c_1 f(x) \mid c_2 g(x)$ for any $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{F}$, (they are not 0)

For example, $(x - 1) \mid (x^3 - 1)$ (in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$)

but also $(2x - 2) \mid (x^3 - 1)$.

Theorem 1. *(Euclidean Algorithm for Polynomials)*

Let F be a field, $f(x), g(x) \in F[x]$. non-zero, then $f(x), g(x)$ have a greatest common divisor.

I.e., there is a polynomial $d(x)$ so that

(1) $d \mid f, d \mid g$.

(2) if $e(x) \in F[x]$ with $e \mid f, e \mid g$ then $e \mid d$.

(3) *Bezout's Properties: There exists $s(x), t(x) \in F[x]$, with $d = fs + gt$.
 d is not unique, but if d_2 is another polynomial with all the same properties, then $d(x) = cd_2(x)$ for some non-zero $c \in F$.*

Observation: If F is a field and $f, g \in F[x]$ then $f|g, g|f$ if and only if $f = cg$ for some $c \in F, c \neq 0$.

Proof. If $f = cg$ then $g|f$, and $g = c^{-1}f$, so $f|g$.

If $g|f, f|g, \deg(f) = \deg(g)$.

So $g = fh$ for some $h \in F[x], \deg(h) = 0$.

Then $h = c \in F$. □

If d has those properties in the theorem of the gcd of polynomials, then so does cd for any $c \in F, c \neq 0$.

On the other hand, if d_2 also has all of these properties, then $d|d_2$ and $d_2|d$, so $d_2 = cd$.

Definition 2. $f(x) \in F[x]$ is monic if $f(x) = x^d + \text{smaller terms}$.

So for $f(x), g(x) \in F[x]$ there is a unique monic d satisfying the condition $d|f, d|g$.

We call that the gcd of $f(x), g(x)$.

Proof of the theorem:

Proof. We can suppose that $\deg(f) \geq \deg(g)$,

Using the division algorithm, write

$$f = q_1g + r_1, \deg(r_1) < \deg(g).$$

$$g = q_2r_1 + r_2, \deg(r_2) < \deg(r_1)$$

Eventually, $r_j = 0$.

$$r_{j-3} = q_{j-1}r_{j-2} + r_{j-1} \quad (\star)$$

$$r_{j-2} = q_j r_{j-1} + 0.$$

Then take $d = r_{j-1}$.

$$d = r_{j-1} | r_{j-2}$$

$$d | r_{j-3}$$

Continuing, $d|f, d|g$.

Then want to show that $d = sf + tg$ for some $s, t \in F[x]$.

$$\text{By the } \star, d = (1)r_{j-3} + (-q_{j-1})r_{j-2}.$$

$$\text{but } r_{j-4} = q_{j-2}r_{j-3} + r_{j-2}$$

$$\text{so } d = (1)r_{j-3} + (-q_{j-1})(r_{j-4} - q_{j-2}r_{j-3}) = (?)f + (?)g.$$

Now, if $e|f, e|g$, then $e|sf + tg = d$. □

Example: Find the gcd of $f(x) = x^4 - 2x^3 + x^2 - 2x, g(x) = x^4 + 3x^3 + 2x^2 + 3x + 1$.

Go through the Euclidean Algorithm and the long division,

you get the gcd is $d = x^2 + 1$. $x^2 + 1 = (\frac{5}{11}x + \frac{14}{11})f(x) + (\frac{-5}{11}x + 1)g(x)$.

GCDs for polynomial over F VS GCDs for integers.

1.1. Unique factorization for polynomials.

Definition 3. A polynomial $f(x) \in F[x]$ is irreducible if and only if whenever $f(x) = g(x)h(x), g, h \in F[x]$, then g or h is constant.

Theorem 2. Any non-zero polynomial $f(x) \in F[x]$ can be written as

$$f = ap_1^{e_1} \dots p_k^{e_k}$$

where $a \in F$,

$p_i \in F[x]$ are distinct monic and irreducible, and $e_i \geq 1$.

This representation is unique (up to order).

Lemma 2. If $p, q, r \in F[x]$, and $\gcd(p, q) = 1$ and $p|qr$, then $p|r$

Proof. Choose $s, t \in F[x]$ so that $sp + tq = 1$.

$$r = r \cdot 1 = r(sp + tq) = prs + rqt$$

Therefore the whole thing is divisible by p . □

Corollary 1. If p is irreducible, and $p|q_1q_2 \dots q_r$, then $p|q_i$ for some i .

Proof. (For $r = 2$) Suppose that p is irreducible and $p|q_1q_2$.

$\gcd(p, q_1)$ is a divisor of $p(n)$.

So $\gcd(p, q_1) = 1$ or cp , for some $c \in F$.

If $\gcd(p, q_1) = cp$, then $cp|q_1$, so $p|q_1$.

If $\gcd(p, q_1) = 1$, then the previous lemma gives $p|q_2$.

If $r > 2$, just do induction:

$$p|q_1q_2 \dots q_r = q_1(q_2 \dots q_r).$$

Then either $p|q_1$ or $p|q_2 \dots q_r$. □

Theorem 3. Unique factorization for polynomial:

If \mathbb{F} is a field and $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ is non-zero, then f can be written as

$$f(x) = ap_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \dots p_r^{e_r} \text{ with } a \in \mathbb{F}.$$

p_i monic irreducible, $e_i \geq 1$.

Uniquely (up to reordering the product).

Proof. If $f(x) = ax^d + \dots$, then $\frac{1}{a}f(x)$ is monic.

So we'll assume that $f(x)$ is monic.

Want to show that $f(x)$ can be written as a product of irreducible monic polynomials.

By induction on the degree.

Base case: $\deg(f) = 1$.

Then $f(x) = x + b$ for some $b \in F$.

$f(x)$ is irreducible.

Suppose that the statement is true for polynomials of degree less than degree of f .

If f is irreducible, we are done.

If not, we can write $f(x) = g(x)h(x)$ with $\deg(g), \deg(h) < \deg(f)$.

Say $g(x) = bx^e + \dots, h(x) = cx^e \dots$

$$f(x) = bcx^{e+w} + \dots$$

So $bc = 1$.

Then $f(x) = g(x)h(x) = (cg(x))(c_{-1}h(x)) = (x^e + \dots)(x_w + \dots)$.

By the induction hypothesis, both $cg(x)$ and $c_{-1}h(x)$ can be written as a product of monic, irreducible polynomials, So $f(x)$ can, too.

By the induction, any monic polynomial can be written as a product of monic irreducible polynomials.

If $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ is non-zero (possibly not monic) then $f(x) = ap_1^{e_1}p_2^{e_2} \dots p_r^{e_r}$ as in the theorem.

For uniqueness, suppose that

$ap_1^{e_1}p_2^{e_2} \dots p_r^{e_r} = bq_1^{w_1} \dots q_r^{w_r}$ with $a, b \in F$ non-zero, p_i, q_i monic and irreducible, and $e_i, w_i \geq 1$.

Multiplying out, a is the coefficient of the higher power of x in $ap_1^{e_1}p_2^{e_2} \dots p_r^{e_r}$. and b is the coefficient of the highest power of x in $bq_1^{w_1} \dots q_r^{w_r}$.

So $a = b$.

Now we want to show that

$$ap_1^{e_1}p_2^{e_2} \dots p_r^{e_r} = bq_1^{w_1} \dots q_r^{w_r}$$

$\implies p_i$ are the q_j (in some order).

Induction on the number of factors $n = e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_r$.

Base case : $n = 1$ $LHS = p = q_1^{w_1} \dots q_r^{w_r}$

RHS should not be the product of two monic irreducible polynomials. So $RHS = q$, and $p = q$.

So we are done if $n = 1$.

Now suppose that this is true for products of factor than n monic, irreducible polynomials.

If $p_1^{e_1}p_2^{e_2} \dots p_r^{e_r} = q_1^{w_1} \dots q_r^{w_r}$ with $n = e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_r$, then p_1 is monic, irreducible, and $p_1 | q_1^{w_1} \dots q_r^{w_r}$

By the corollary, $p | q_j$ for some j . But the q_j are irreducible, so $p_1 = cq_j$ for some $c \in \mathbb{F}$.

Since p and q are monic, $c = 1$,

Therefore, $p_1 = q_j$. so $p_1^{e_1-1}p_2^{e_2} \dots p_r^{e_r} = q_1^{w_1} \dots q_j^{w_j-1} \dots q_r^{e_r}$

By the induction hypothesis, the polynomials on the LHS are the same as the polynomials, on the RHS, up to the order.

By the induction, the representation is unique. □

We've been looking at polynomials in $\mathbb{F}[x]$ where \mathbb{F} is a field. What about polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$.

Irreducible polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$.

Question : When can $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be factored (in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$).

A polynomial $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is primitive if the gcd of the coefficients is 1. I.E. if there is no prime dividing all of the coefficients.

Lemma 3. *If f and $g \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ are primitive, then so is $f \cdot g$.*

Proof. Let p be a prime, and $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^d a_i x^i$, $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^e b_i x^i, b_i \in \mathbb{Z},$$

By hypothesis, there is at least one i with $p \nmid b_i$. Let i be the smallest i such that $p \nmid b_i$.

Similarly, let j_0 be the least j such that $p \nmid a_j$.

$$\text{Now, } fg = \left(\sum_{i=0}^d a_i x^i\right)\left(\sum_{i=0}^e b_i x^i\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{d+e} \left(\sum_{i+j=k} a_j b_i\right) x^k.$$

Then coefficient of $x^{i_0+j_0}$ is $\sum_{i+j=i_0+j_0=k_0} a_j b_i$.

$$\text{This} = (a_0 b_{k_0} + a_1 b_{k_0-1} + \dots) + a_{j_0} b_{i_0} + (a_{j_0+1} b_{i_0-1} + \dots + a_{k_0} b_0).$$

Therefore, this expression is not divisible by p .

So the coefficient of $x^{i_0+j_0}$ in $f \cdot g$ is not divisible by p .

Since p was any prime, $f \cdot g$ is primitive. \square

Theorem 4. Gauss Lemma : if $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and $f(x)$ is reducible in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$, then $f(x)$ is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$.

Proof. Let $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. and suppose that $f = gh$, for $g, h \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$, $\deg(g), \deg(h) < \deg(f)$.

Choose $M, N \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $Mg(x), Nh(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$.

Also, of , as the gcd of the coefficients of $Mg(x)$, then $Mg(x) = mg_1(x)$, for $g_1(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ primitive.

Similarly, $Nh(x) = nh_1(x)$ where $h_1(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is primitive.

Now, $g_1 h_1 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is primitive, and $mn(g_1 h_1) = (mg_1(x))(nh_1(x)) = Mg(x)Nh(x) = MNf(x)$.

If d is the gcd of the coefficients of f , then $mn = MNd$.

$$MNd g_1(x) h_1(x) = MNf(x)$$

and so $(dg_1(x))(h_1(x)) = f(x)$. $dg_1(x), h_1(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$.

(degrees haven't changed). \square

Corollary 2. Let $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^d a_i x^i \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$.

and suppose that

$$f\left(\frac{b}{c}\right) = 0, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}, \gcd(b, c) = 1.$$

Then $c|a_d, b|a_0$.

Proof. Suppose that $f\left(\frac{b}{c}\right) = 0$. Then in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$, $(x - \frac{b}{c})|f(x)$.

So in fact there is some integer N such that if $N(x - \frac{b}{c}) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is primitive and $N(x - \frac{b}{c})|f(x)$

So $(cx - b)|f(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$.

That means $(cx - b)(g_e x^e + \dots + g_0) = (a_d x^d + \dots + a_0)(c g_e x^{e+1} + \dots - b g_0) = (a_d x^d + \dots + a_0)$.

So $a_0 = -b g_0$ Then $b|a_0, c|a_d$. \square

Example :

Show that $f(x) = 3x^5 + 2x - 2$ has no rational roots.

Solution : If $f\left(\frac{b}{c}\right) = 0$, $\frac{b}{c} \in \mathbb{Q}$ in least terms.

The corollary says that $b|2, c|3, b = \pm 1, \pm 2$.

$c = \pm 1, \pm 3$.

Then list it,

None of these is a root.

Theorem 5. *Eisenstein's Criterion :*

Let $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$.

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^d a_i x^i, a_i \in \mathbb{Z}, a_d \neq 0.$$

If there is a prime p such that

1) $p \nmid a_d$.

2) $p \mid a_i$ for $0 \leq i < d$.

3) $p^2 \nmid a_0$.

Then $f(x)$ is irreducible.

Example : $f(x) = 2x^{10} - 10x^3 + 5$

Is irreducible, since $5 \nmid 2, 5 \mid 10, 5 \mid 5, 5^2 \nmid 5$.

Proof. Suppose $f(x)$ is reducible and write $f(x) = g(x)h(x) = (\sum_{i=0}^m b_i x^i)(\sum_{j=0}^n c_j x^j)$.

$$\deg(g), \deg(h) < \deg(f), b_i, c_j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

$$a_d = b_m c_n \text{ (assuming } m = \deg(g), n = \deg(h))$$

$$\text{So } p \nmid b_m, p \nmid c_n.$$

$$\text{Also } a_0 = b_0 c_0$$

$$\text{So } p \mid b_0 c_0 \text{ but } p^2 \nmid b_0 c_0$$

Thus, exactly one of c_0, b_0 is divisible by p .

We will suppose that $p \mid b_0, p \nmid c_0$.

Let i_0 be the least value of i such that $p \nmid b_i$.

Look at a_{i_0} . ($i_0 \leq m < d$).

By the assumption, $p \mid a_{i_0}$ since $i_0 < d$.

$$a_{i_0} = \sum_{j+k=i_0} b_k c_j = b_{i_0} c_0 + b_{i_0-1} c_1 + \cdots + b_0 c_{i_0}.$$

Divisible by p , since $p \mid b_i$ for $i < i_0$.

$$\text{So } p \mid b_{i_0} c_0 \text{ but } p \nmid b_{i_0}, p \nmid c_0.$$

This is a contradiction, so $f(x)$ does not factor in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$. □

2. ALGEBRAIC NUMBERS

A number $a \in \mathbb{C}$ is algebraic if there is some polynomial $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ such that $f(a) = 0$.

Example : $\sqrt{2}$ is the positive solution to $x^2 - 2 = 0$.

If $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$, the roots of $f(x)$ (in \mathbb{C} or in \mathbb{R}) are somehow described.

In terms of \mathbb{Q} , $f(x) = 10x^7 - 3x - 1$.

$$f(a) = 0.$$

If $a \in \mathbb{C}$ is not algebraic, then it is transcendental.

Theorem 6. *If $a \in \mathbb{C}$ is algebraic, then there is a unique monic polynomial $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ such that $f(a) = 0$ and $f(x) \mid g(x)$ for any non-zero $g(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ such that $g(a) = 0$.*

Proof. We know that a is the root of some non-zero polynomial. Let $f(x)$ be a polynomial of lowest degree in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ which is monic, and $f(a) = 0$.

Suppose that $g(a) = 0$, for $g(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$.

Write $g(x) = q(x)f(x) + r(x)$, $q, r \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ and $\deg(r) < \deg(f)$.

Then $0 = g(a) = q(a)f(a) + r(a) = r(a)$, since $f(a) = 0$.

If $r(x)$ is not the zero polynomial then dividing by the leading coefficient, give a polynomial $r_2(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ which is monic, and $r_2(a) = 0$, $\deg(r_2) < \deg(f)$.

It contradicts, so $r(x) = 0$, $g(x) = q(x)f(x)$.

In other words, $f(x)|g(x)$.

If $f_1(x), f_2(x)$ both have this property.

$f_2(a) = 0$ so

$f_1(x)|f_2(x)$

$f_1(a) = 0$, $f_2(x)|f_1(x)$

This means that $f_1(x) = cf_2(x)$ for some non-zero $c \in \mathbb{Q}$.

But both are monic, $c = 1$. □

The polynomial in the theorem is the minimal polynomial for a .

Corollary 3. *If $a \in \mathbb{C}$ is the root of a polynomial $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ which is non-zero and irreducible, then a is irrational. (unless $\deg(f) = 1$)*

Proof. If a is rational, then $(x - a)|f(x)$. (given that $f(a) = 0$).

So $f(x)$ is not irreducible. □

Example :

$f(x) = x^n - 2 \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ is irreducible by the Eisenstein's Criterion .

So if $n > 1$, then $2^{\frac{1}{n}} \notin \mathbb{Q}$.

Example :

$\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}$ is algebraic, but what is the minimal polynomial $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$. such that $f(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}) = 0$.

Solution 1. *Need some $a_d w^d + a_{d-1} w^{d-1} + \dots + a_0 = 0$, $a_d \in \mathbb{Q}$.*

$$w = \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}$$

$$w^2 = (\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})^2 = 5 + 2\sqrt{6}.$$

$$w^3 = 11\sqrt{2} + 9\sqrt{3}.$$

$$w^4 = 49 + 20\sqrt{6}.$$

$$w^4 - 10w^2 = (49 + 20\sqrt{6}) - 10(5 + 2\sqrt{6}) = -1$$

$$w^4 - 10w^2 + 1 = 0.$$

$$f(x) = x^4 - 10x^2 + 1.$$

$$f(w) = 0.$$

Done but is $f(x)$ the minimal polynomial?

If not, $f(x)$ factors in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$.

If $f(x)$ factors, then either it has a root in \mathbb{Q} , or else it factors as (quadratic)(quadratic).

By Gauss Lemma Corollary, the only possible roots of $f(x)$ in \mathbb{Q} are $x = \pm 1$.

$f(x)$ has no root in \mathbb{Q} , so if it is reducible, it factors as $f(x) = x^4 - 10x^3 + 1 = (x^2 + ax + b)(x^2 + cx + d) = x^4 + (a + c)x^3 + (d + b + ac)x^2 + (ad + bc)x + bd$.

There is no solution for this equation group.

3. TRANSCENDENTAL NUMBERS

$a \in \mathbb{C}$ is transcendental if and only if it is not algebraic.

Examples (without proof)

$e, \pi \dots$

How do you show that specific number is transcendental?

Theorem 7. Liouville : Suppose that $a \in \mathbb{R}$ is a root of the irreducible polynomial $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$. Then there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $|a - \frac{p}{q}| > \frac{\delta}{q^d}$ for any rational number $\frac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{Q}$ in lowest terms $d = \deg(f) > 1$.

For any real number a , you can find rational $\frac{p}{q}$ with $|a - \frac{p}{q}|$ as small as you want.

For example, just cut off the decimal expression of a at some point.

$a = 1.362187\dots, \frac{p}{q} = 1.362187$

If I want $|a - \frac{p}{q}| < \varepsilon$, a algebraic and irrational.

$\frac{\delta}{q^d} < \dots < \varepsilon$.

so $(\delta\varepsilon^{-1})^{\frac{1}{d}} < q$.

Proof. We have $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ of degree $d > 1$, irreducible. $f(a) = 0$.

Without loss of generality, $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$.

so $f(x) = a_d x^d + \dots + a_1 x + a_0, a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

What a lower bound on $|x - a|$ for $x \in \mathbb{Q}$.

If x is not in $[a - 1, a + 1]$, then $|x - a| > 1$.

On the other hand, if x is in $[a - 1, a + 1]$, then for some c in $[a - 1, a + 1]$ we have

$|f(x)| = |f'(c)||x - a|$.

$|f'(c)| \leq M$ for c on the interval for some M .

$|x - a| \geq \frac{1}{M}|f(x)|$.

Now we want a lower bound on $|f(x)|$ for $x \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Write $x = \frac{p}{q}, p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$f(\frac{p}{q}) = a_d \frac{p^d}{q^d} + \dots + a_0$.

$q^d f(\frac{p}{q}) = a_d p^d + \dots + a_{d-1} q p^{d-1} + \dots + a_1 p q^{d-1} + a_0 q^d$.

So $q^d f(\frac{p}{q}) \in \mathbb{Z}$.

and it is not 0, so $|q^d f(\frac{p}{q})| \geq 1$.

$|f(\frac{p}{q})| \geq \frac{1}{q^d}$.

$|\frac{p}{q} - a| \geq \frac{1}{M} \cdot \frac{1}{q^d}$.

So if $\frac{p}{q}$ is not in $[a - 1, a + 1]$, $|a - \frac{p}{q}| > 1 \geq \frac{1}{q^d}$ and if $\frac{p}{q}$ is in $[a - 1, a + 1]$.

$$|a - \frac{p}{q}| \geq \frac{M^{-1}}{q^d}$$

$$\text{So } |a - \frac{p}{q}| \geq \frac{\min\{1, M^{-1}\}}{q^d} > \frac{\min\{1, M^{-1}\}}{2q^d}$$

□

Construction transcendental construct $a \in \mathbb{R}$ with very good approximation in \mathbb{Q} .

For $\frac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{Q}$, $|\sqrt{2} - \frac{p}{q}| > \frac{\delta}{q^2}$ for some $\delta > 0$.

Want to use this to show that certain numbers are transcendental.

Example : Let

$$a = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 10^{-10^m}$$

Then a is transcendental.

Proof. First of all, $a = \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10^2} + \frac{1}{10^6} + \frac{1}{10^{24}} + \frac{1}{10^{120}} + \frac{1}{10^{720}} \dots = 0.11000100\dots010\dots010\dots$

Point : the partial sums are rational numbers that are extremely close to a .

$$\text{Let } \frac{p_n}{q_n} = \sum_{m=1}^n 10^{-10^m} \in \mathbb{Q}$$

$$q_n = 10^{n!}$$

$$p_n = \sum_{m=1}^n 10^{n!-10^m} = 1 + 10^? + 10^? + \dots$$

$$\frac{p_1}{q_1} = \sum_{m=1}^1 10^{-10^m} = \frac{1}{10}$$

$$\frac{p_2}{q_2} = \sum_{m=1}^2 10^{-10^m} = \frac{11}{100}$$

$$\frac{p_3}{q_3} = \sum_{m=1}^3 10^{-10^m} = \frac{110001}{1000000}$$

$$|a - \frac{p_n}{q_n}| = |\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 10^{-10^m} - \sum_{m=1}^n 10^{-10^m}| = \sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} 10^{-10^m} = 10^{-(n+1)!} + 10^{-(n+2)!} + \dots < 2 \cdot 10^{-(n+1)!}$$

$$|a - \frac{p_n}{q_n}| < 2 \cdot 10^{-(n+1)!} = 2(10^{n!-(n+1)}) = 2 \cdot q_n^{-(n+1)}, \text{ for all } n.$$

Now, suppose that a is algebraic. So

$f(a) = 0$. for some irreducible $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$. of degree $d \geq 2$.

By Liouville's Theorem, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $|a - \frac{p}{q}| > \frac{\delta}{q^d}$, for all $\frac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{Q}$.

$$\text{So } \frac{\delta}{q_n^d} < |a - \frac{p_n}{q_n}| < \frac{2}{q_n^{n+1}}$$

$$\text{So } \delta q_n^{n+1} < 2q_n^d$$

As soon as $n \geq d$, we get

$$10^{-n!} = q_n \leq q_n^{n+1-d} < \frac{2}{\delta}, \text{ for all } n \geq d.$$

$\frac{2}{\delta}$ is some real numbers.

This is impossible. So a is not algebraic.

□

Can use this to show that $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} b^{-m!}$ is transcendental for any integer $b \geq 2$.

Lots of transcendental numbers.

e is transcendental.