ALGEBRA NOTES : CHAPTER 1

JOHNEW ZHANG

1. The Introduction to Abstract Algebra

The integers: $\mathbb{Z} = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$

 $[S_1]$ The integers consist of the set \mathbb{Z} and the operations "+" and ".".

 $[A_1] \forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, a+b=b+a (commutativity of addition)$

 $[A_2] \forall a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}, (a+b) + c = a + (b+c) (associativity of addition)$

 $[A_3]$ There is an element $0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that $a + 0 = a, \forall a \in \mathbb{Z}$ (additive identity)

 $[A_4] \forall a \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is an element $-a \in \mathbb{Z}$, so that a + (-a) = 0 (additive inverse property)

 $[M_1] \forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, ab = ba (commutativity of multiplication)$

 $[M_2] \forall a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}, (ab)c = a(bc) (associativity of multiplication)$

 $[M_3]$ There is a and $1 \in \mathbb{Z}$, so that $1 \cdot a = a \cdot 1 = a, \forall a \in \mathbb{Z}$ (multiplicative identity)

 $[D_1] \forall a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}, (a+b) \cdot c = ac + bc, (distributivity of multiplication)$

Other things that satisfy these properties

 \mathbb{R} (the set of real numbers) with usual "+" and "."

 \mathbb{Q} (the set of rational numbers)

A set \mathbb{R} with the operations "+" and "." satisfies all of these properties is called a *commutative rings*.

E.G., let $\mathbb{F}_2(or\mathbb{Z}_2)$ be the set $\{0,1\}$

 $\begin{array}{c|ccc} + & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ \hline \cdot & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{array}$

This is a commutative ring. \blacksquare

Sometimes we will study rings with an additional property.

JOHNEW ZHANG

 $[M_4] \forall a \neq 0$, there is an element a^{-1} such that $a \cdot a^{-1} = 1$ (multiplicative inverse) A commutative ring satisfying M_4 is called a *field*. E.G., \mathbb{Q} is a field. \mathbb{R} is a field. \mathbb{Z} is not a field. \mathbb{F}_2 is a field.

Let M be the set of
$$2 \times 2$$
 matrices with integers entries

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} e & f \\ g & h \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a+e & b+f \\ c+g & d+h \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} e & f \\ g & h \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} ae & bf \\ cg & dh \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \neq \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 It is not commutative rings.

$$[D_2] \forall a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}, a(b+c) = ab + ac$$

2. INDUCTION PRINCIPLE

Induction Some statement about nature number n, suppose that P(1) holds and suppose that whenever P(k) is true for $(1 \ge k < n)$, then P(n) is true. Then P(n) holds for all n.

InductionSteps 1. Check the base case.

2. Assume that P(K) holds $\forall k \in [1, n)$

- 3. Prove that P(k + 1) holds
- 4. Conclusion

WellOrderingPrinciple: Every non-empty subset of \mathbb{N} contains a least element.

Proof. Contrapositive

Let P(n) be " $n \notin S$ ", where S has no least element.

1. Base Case P(1) holds since if $1 \in S$, S has a least element.

2. Assume $P(k) \forall k \in [1, n)$ (n here is at least 2), so $k \notin S$. Then $n \notin S$. Therefore P(n) holds.

By induction, P(n) holds for all n, so $n \notin S, \forall n \notin \mathbb{N}, S = \emptyset$. In conclusion, the well ordering principle holds.

3. PRIMES AND DIVISIBILITY

Definition In a commutative rings, \mathbb{R} , if $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, we say a|b ("a divides b"), if and only if there exists $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that b = ac.

 $\mathbf{2}$

Definition A prime (integer) is a positive integer $p \neq 1$, such that the only divisors of p in \mathbb{Z} are $\pm 1, \pm p$.

Unique Factorization Every integer can be written in the form $\pm 1 \cdot p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \dots p_r^{a_r}$, where $a_i > 0$, the p_i are primes. And this representation is unique to reordering.

Proof. (of existence)

Let $n \ge 1$, P(n) be the statement that there exists a way of writing $n = 1 \cdot p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \dots p_r^{a_r}$.

Base case, P(1) is true, since 1 = 1.

Suppose P(k) holds $\forall k \in [1, n) \ (n \ge 2)$.

If n is prime, then P(n) holds, $\therefore n = n$.

If n is not prime, we can write n = ab, where $1 \le a, b < n$. We can write a and b as products of prime powers since P(a) and P(b) holds. Therefore we can write n = ab as a product of prime powers.

Theorem There are infinitely many primes.

Proof. Suppose not, and list all of the primes p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n . Then $p_1p_2p_3 \ldots p_n + 1$ is divisible by any prime.

If $p_1|p_1$ and $p_1|p_1p_2p_3...p_n+1$, then $p_1|(p_1p_2p_3...p_n+1) + (-p_2p_3...p_n)p_1 = 1$ Contradiction, so there are infinitely many primes.

Definition 1. Let $\Pi(x) =$ the number of primes less than x. $\Pi(x) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$

Theorem Let P_n be the nth prime. Then $P_n \leq 2^{2^{n-1}}$.

Proof. Base case : n = 1, then $P_1 = 2 < 2^{2^{1-1}}$. it holds. Suppose $P_k \leq 2^{2^{k-1}}$, then

$$p_1 p_2 p_3 \dots p_{k-1} + 1 \le 2^{2^0} 2^{2^1} \dots 2^{2^{k-1}} + 1$$
$$= 2^{\frac{1-2^{k-1}}{1-2}} + 1$$
$$= 2^{2^{k-1}-1} + 1$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} 2^{2^{k-1}} + 1$$
$$< 2^{2^{k-1}}$$

so $p_1 p_2 p_3 \dots p_{k-1} + 1 \le 2^{2^{n-1}}$

JOHNEW ZHANG

But $p_1p_2p_3\ldots p_{k-1}+1$ is divisible by some prime $q \geq P_n$ so that $P_n \leq q \leq$ $p_1 p_2 p_3 \dots p_{k-1} + 1 \le 2^{2^{k-1}}.$

By induction, the theorem holds.

In particular, $\Pi(x) \ge \log_2(\log_2(x))$ (for x > 1)

Theorem For primes, $\sum \frac{1}{n}$ diverges.

Proof. Suppose that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p_n}$ converges. $(p_n = nth prime)$ If this is true, then there exists $k \ge 1$ such that $\sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p_n} < \frac{1}{2}$. Let $N = 4^{k+1}$, we'll count the elements of $1, 2, 3, 4, \ldots, N$. Let $X = \{1 \le a \le N : Pi | a \text{ for some } i \ge k+1\}.$ Let $Y = \{1 \le a \le N : a \text{ is not in } X\}.$ It should be clear that #X + #Y = Y. Each element of X is divisible by some prime $p_i, \forall i \geq k+1$ The number of integers from 1 to N. Divisible by p_i is at most $\frac{N}{p_i}$. Reason: If $p_i|x, x = p_i m$, and $1 \le m \le \frac{N}{p_i}$. $\therefore \# \mathbf{X} \leq \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} (\# \text{ of } 1 \leq x \leq N, \text{ divisible by } \mathbf{p}_i) \leq \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{N}{p_i} = N \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p_i} < \frac{N}{2}$

Now we count the element of Y.

Every element of Y can be written as $p_i^{e_i} p_2^{e_2} \dots p_k^{e_k} \dots$ for some $e_i \ge 0$. It follows that every element of Y can be written as $p_i^{a_i} p_2^{a_2} \dots p_k^{a_k} b^2$, where $a_i = 0$, or 1 for all i.

If $p_i^{a_i} p_2^{a_2} \dots p_k^{a_k} b^2 \leq N$, certainly $b \leq \sqrt{N}$ since b is an integer, this leaves at most \sqrt{N} choices for b.

Since each a_i is either 0 or 1, there are only 2^k choices for $a_1, a_2, \ldots a_k$.

Therefore the number of integers $1 \le x \le N$, which can be written in the form $x = p_i^{a_i} p_2^{a_2} \dots p_k^{a_k} b^2$, for $b \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_i = 0$ or 1, is at most $2^k \sqrt{N}, \therefore \# Y \le 2^k \sqrt{N}$ $2^k \sqrt{N} = 2^k \sqrt{4^{k+1}} = 2^{2k+1} = \frac{1}{2} 4^{k+1} = \frac{N}{2}$ $\#Y \leq \frac{N}{2}$

We assumed that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p_i}$ converges and shows that for some N, N = #X + #Y <N.

Contradiction, the theorem holds.

Theorem Let $a \ge 1$ and b be integers, then there exist integers q and $0 \le r < a$ such that b = aq + r.

Proof. Let $S = \{s : s = b - aq \text{ for some } q \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } s \ge 0\}$

4

This is non-empty, since $a \ge 0$. So we can choose q with $b - aq \ge 0$ $S \subseteq \{0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$ So if $S \ne \emptyset$, S has a least element, call $r \in S$. r = b - aq for some $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ Also, $r \ge 0$, Suppose $r \ge a$ Then $r - a \ge 0$, and b = aq + r = a(q + 1) + (r - a) \therefore r-a $\in S$. But r - a < r. contradiction, r < a.

Definition 2. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ be non-zero. Then gcd(a, b) is the large $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that d|a and d|b.

Remarks :

1. If d|a, and $a \neq 0$, then $d \leq |a|$.

2. We can define gcd(a,0) if $a \neq 0$, just by gcd(a,0) = gcd(0,a) = a. gcd(0,0) does not make sense.

EuclideanAlgorithm :

- 1. (gcd(a, b)), Set things up so that b > a > 0.
- 2. If a = 0, gcd(b, a) = b
- 3. Write b = aq + r, $0 \le r < a$, and repeat to compute gcd(a, r).

Bezaut'sidentity : If a and b are positive integers, then there exists integers s and t so that as + bt = gcd(a, b). (note, this is called an "integer linear combination" of $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$).

FatoringIntegers:

lemma: If a and b are non-zero integers with gcd(a,b) = 1 and a|bc, then a|c. *lemma*: Let p be a prime and suppose that $p|a_1a_2...a_n$ $(a_i \in \mathbb{Z})$. Then $p|a_i$ for some i.

Unique Factorization Of Integers: We have show that every $n \ge 2$ can be written as $n = p_1 p_2 p_3 \dots p_r$ for some primes (they may repeat).